

From: planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk <planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk>
Sent: 31 March 2026 19:26:42 UTC+01:00
To: "Steven King" <steven.king@midsussex.gov.uk>
Subject: Mid Sussex DC - Online Register - Comments for Planning Application
DM/26/0577

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 31/03/2026 7:26 PM.

Application Summary

Address: Land West Of Turners Hill Road And North Of Huntsland Including Hurst Farm Turners Hill Road Crawley Down West Sussex

Proposal: Outline application (appearance, landscaping, layout and scale reserved), for the demolition of existing buildings and erection of up to 230 dwellings, a care home (use class c2) up to 70 beds, and community facility, and associated infrastructure including new access points off of Turners Hill Road, with associated spine road and car and cycle parking, together with provision of open space, play facilities, utilities infrastructure, surface water drainage features, and associated works. (Response to LLFA comments received 30/03/26)

Case Officer: Steven King

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Address: Well House, Turners Hill Road Crawley Down

Comments Details

Commenter Type: Neighbour or general public

Stance: Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments: Poor public transport in this area. Too far from an already full to capacity primary school. Both of these factors will result in more

traffic on an already busy road. This isn't great for the environment. The council is trying to become more 'green' with the green food bins etc so extra traffic isn't conducive with the 'green' policy. It's contradictory to the approach. The Road Safety Audit needs further investigation and study. The RSA was conducted in January and February (section 2.3) where traffic flows may not be representative of other times of the year. Existing Crawley Down traffic turning onto the THR from Sandy Lane, Vicarage Road and Grange Road is already quite tricky. Section 2.5 states that there weren't any cyclists present. It was January. I find this rather misleading and not a true representation of the amount of cyclists during the warmer months. The amount of traffic on the B2028 has expanded considerably over the last 15 years we have lived on it. Queues at peak times are considerable. When there are events at Ardingly showgrounds it can be intolerable. 230 proposed dwellings and a nursing home will significantly increase the amount of traffic on an already busy road. The distance of all properties from any of the amenities of the village will result in every journey from this proposed development being made by automobile. This alone contradicts any claim that this is a sustainable development. It is instead eating further into the green spaces around the village and putting unacceptable additional strain on the village amenities. Please consider these points when making determination of whether this application should be refused or approved.

Kind regards