

From: planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk <planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk>
Sent: 16 September 2025 09:34:30 UTC+01:00
To: "Andy Watt" <andy.watt@midsussex.gov.uk>
Subject: Mid Sussex DC - Online Register - Comments for Planning Application
DM/24/2957

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 16/09/2025 9:34 AM.

Application Summary

Address:	The Prince Albert Copthorne Bank Copthorne Crawley West Sussex RH10 3QX
Proposal:	Sever existing land to retain existing public house use and area of pub garden and erect a convenience store and 4 no. apartments with associated works, including alterations to the existing vehicular access and car park (Amended plans and retail justification received 1 May 2025) (Updated drainage, ecology and landscaping information received 26 June 2025) (Off-site highways works plan received 9 September 2025)
Case Officer:	Andrew Watt

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Address:	35 Bridgelands Copthorne
----------	--------------------------

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour or general public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	
Comments:	Once again we are objecting this application as we have done the last three times. Original comments stand that we do not need a another convenience store. We have three in the village, with the nearest convenience store being right next one to the Prince

Albert, which has recently been renovated and is a really good shop and supports a local business. We are supportive of another building being built for a local business such as bakery, coffee shop, dry cleaners etc. Something that is currently not in the village. The 4 flats need to ensure there is sufficient parking as there is none nearby, and Copthorne Bank road is already terrible for traffic with that junction already very busy. How will this be accommodated for with housing now being added? The flats also need to look in keeping with the village. We would also add that the Prince Albert needs some serious investment into it, it looks terrible from the outside, unclean and uncared for, and inside it is terrible and mostly unusable to the local community which is a real shame. The money from this proposal needs to be put into the pub to make it usable.

Kind regards