

From: planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk <planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk>
Sent: 22 April 2026 20:48:42 UTC+01:00
To: "Steven King" <steven.king@midsussex.gov.uk>
Subject: Mid Sussex DC - Online Register - Comments for Planning Application
DM/26/0682

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 22/04/2026 8:48 PM.

Application Summary

Address:	Land At Hurst Farm Hurstwood Lane Haywards Heath West Sussex
Proposal:	Reserved Matters Application Pursuant to Outline Planning Permission Mid Sussex District Council (MSDC) Reference: DM/25/1630, Lewes District Council (LDC) Reference: LW/2510707 at Hurst Farm, for appearance, layout, scale and landscaping only.
Case Officer:	Steven King

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Address:	8 Greenhill Park Haywards Heath West Sussex
----------	---

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour or general public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments:

With regard to the submitted Planning Statement, I have the following comments:

Para. 5.43 and figure 5.1 clearly shows the land that has been allocated for housing in the Haywards Heath Neighbourhood plan.

The land is parcels 1,2 & 3.
NOT parcel 4

Therefore, why are there plans to build on it?

Para. 1.5.1.3 regarding Lewes District Plan - which states
'Stage 3 (Defining our policies and early site allocation proposals)
consultation was carried out
between 6 January 2025 and 28 February 2025. This incorporates
early site allocation proposals.
The site subject to this RMA is not identified as a site allocation'

Therefore, why are there plans to build on it?

Para. 6.25 The proposed development would provide a suitable
level of residential amenity for future residents and would not
negatively impinge upon any nearby existing residents.

This is UNTRUE and INACCURATE.

Presently we have no residential properties overlooking the back
of our property where most of our habitable rooms are, whereas
this development would mean four houses will be looking directly
into our home .

I can see no mitigation for this.

Removing plots 355,356,357 & 358 would make a huge difference
to the loss of privacy and outlook that will occur to current
residents if these plans are approved in their current form.

Para 6.43 states 'Existing Ancient woodland (and 15m buffer
zone) is protected alongside most other
native and mature specimens'

It has already been established at the outline permission stage
that the Woodland Trust objected to the 15m buffer and advised
that the applicant should provide at least a 30m buffer.

Why is the experts advice being ignored?

Surely these matters need to be addressed before approval can
be given to this application in its current form?

Kind regards