

Steve Ashdown

From: planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk
Sent: 14 December 2024 16:53
To: Steve Ashdown
Subject: Mid Sussex DC - Online Register - Comments for Planning Application DM/23/2867

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 14/12/2024 4:52 PM.

Application Summary

Address:	Land East Of Ansty Way Cuckfield Bypass Cuckfield West Sussex
Proposal:	Change of use of farmland and woodland to parkland reserve to include public access and instigation of long-term management and rewilding regime, including establishment of pedestrian and cycle tracks, with new pedestrian and cycle access points off Cuckfield Road to the south and Staplefield Road to the north. Proposals to include the addition of two wooden viewing platforms and two hides. Sports pitches at Beech Farm Field to remain in sports use. (Additional information received on 8th November 2024).
Case Officer:	Stephen Ashdown

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Address:	Middle Cottage South Street Cuckfield
----------	---------------------------------------

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour or general public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	
Comments:	I strongly object to this application, as I do to DM/23/2866, to which it must be read in conjunction, as this proposal is in mitigation of the housing development proposed in 2866. My understanding of the application is that the existing countryside is to provide recreational facilities for the new housing of 2866, but that access will be controlled and limited, which is a contradiction. The application contains proposals to change and 'enhance' the biodiversity by, inter-alia, the introduction of new species of deer, and to introduce rewilding. This will involve the introduction of more fencing, which together with the proposed new fencing and access footpaths for recreation, will damage the existing diversity, whilst the site is too small for rewilding. Also this site is only part of the local landscape which includes other parkland, onto

which it would have a detrimental impact by these changes.

For all these points I object to the application.

Kind regards