

From: Richard Merrick <richard@ecotecture.co.uk>
Sent: 15 April 2026 14:30
To: Caroline Grist <Caroline.Grist@midsussex.gov.uk>
Cc: Melanie Laurie <mel@ecotecture.co.uk>; Jo Saady <jo@ecotecture.co.uk>
Subject: Re: DM/26/0379 & DM/26/0380: Walnut and Well Cottage, The Street, Bolney

You don't often get email from richard@ecotecture.co.uk. [Learn why this is important](#)

Caroline

Thank you for agreeing to extension of time, and yes we understand that there is a 21 day statutory consultation period which Emily has to comment.

I attach revised drawings to address Emily's comments as follows, comments in italics.

Comments on the above discharge of conditions applications.

DM/26/0379 – ref. DM/25/2250

Condition 3 – Materials to extension

The details as supplied are acceptable.

OK noted. Thank you. No further action.

Condition 4- Windows, doors and roof lantern to extension

Although I would have no objection to the oak frames to the windows, the design as shown does not match the approved elevations in that it includes what I would assume to be applied leading to the double-glazed units. The use of applied leading is not usually considered acceptable in the context of a listed building, however we have no full-length sections of these windows to confirm the detail. Further information is required, and it may be necessary if the applicant wishes to incorporate double glazing to revert to the approved design (i.e. without leading).

OK Noted. Windows and doors now updated to match the approved elevations and now without leaded lights. I attach updated elevations 420 b and 421 b. I also include new drawing 446 Detail 07 showing extension window and door details, including full

length sections. The revised windows are double glazed as described and without leading.

The details of the roof lantern are acceptable.

OK noted. Thank you. No further action.

Condition 5- Windows to porch

The approved drawings show the windows to match the existing, which I assume are single glazed. However the submitted details include the use of LandVac vacuum glazing with applied leading. The use of vacuum glazing raises potential issues, as samples I have previously seen of other products have included visible spacer columns, giving the glazing a 'spotty' appearance. I note the LandVac units also include a 'getter'. If the applicant chooses to pursue this option I would like to see a sample glazed unit to allow a fully informed assessment, however as the use of applied leading will not be acceptable in the context of a listed building it may be simpler to revert to a single glazed leaded window. This aspect of the submission therefore requires reconsideration.

OK agreed. Windows have been amended to single-glazed with through-leading, matching the pattern of existing adjacent windows, as described. Please refer to updated drawing 443A Detail 06 for amendments.

Condition 6- Eaves detail to extension

The eaves details as submitted are acceptable.

OK noted. Thank you. No further action.

Condition 7- Materials to patio

Although sandstone is a locally prevalent material, we would normally expect a warmer colour (e.g. buff). I note the grey coloured stone is 'to match existing' - can the applicant please supply photos of the existing to confirm this?

I attach screenshot showing the existing patio at Walnut and well which is to be extended. The colour is very much a grey rather than buff. The pattern and finish we believe is a good match to the information supplied.



Kind regards,

Richard Merrick

Architectural Technician



31 Sussex Road, Haywards Heath, RH16 4DZ

t: 01444 416745 m: 07899 978092

e: richard@ecotecture.co.uk

Ecotecture Ecological Design

www.ecotecture.co.uk

Ecotecture Ecological Design Ltd is registered in England and Wales. Registration no 11114542. Registered office: Basepoint Business Centre, Suite 43, Little High Street, Shoreham by Sea, West

Sussex, BN43 5EG. This e-mail and any attachments to it may be confidential and are intended solely for the use of the individual to whom it is addressed, the copyright of this email and its contents/attachments remain the property of Ecotecture Ecological Design Ltd. Any views or opinions expressed are solely those of the author and do not necessarily represent those of Ecotecture Ecological Design Ltd. All drawings issued in editable electronic version, are intended solely for the purpose of consultancy work on the specific project referred to in the e-mail header and may not be redistributed/reused in any form. Please note that you may not, except with our written permission, use this electronic data outside of the remit described above as this is deemed infringement of copyright of Ecotecture Ecological Design Ltd. If you are not the intended recipient of this email, you must neither take any action based upon its contents nor copy or show it to anyone. Please contact the sender if you believe you have received this email in error.