

From: planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk <planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk>
Sent: 02 July 2026 20:03:00 UTC+01:00
To: "Hamish Evans" <hamish.evans@midsussex.gov.uk>
Subject: Mid Sussex DC - Online Register - Comments for Planning Application
DM/26/0624

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 02/07/2026 8:02 PM.

Application Summary

Address:	Hurstpierpoint Methodist Church Hall 42 Cuckfield Road Hurstpierpoint Hassocks West Sussex BN6 9SA
Proposal:	Technical Details Consent pursuant to permission in principle reference DM/25/0958/PIP (which granted planning permission in principle for the development of up to six residential dwellings (maximum floorspace of 1,000 sqm))
Case Officer:	Hamish Evans

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Address: 38 Cuckfield Road Hurstpierpoint Hassocks West Sussex

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour or general public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	
Comments:	On Site Car Parking The WSCC Highways consultation response states: "The WSCC Car Parking Demand Calculator indicates that the proposed residential development could require twelve car parking spaces. Consequently, any overspill parking would have to be accommodated on-street. Whilst on-street parking is limited in the area, there are parking restrictions in place on the

surrounding roads prohibiting vehicles from parking in places that would be detrimental to highway safety. The LHA does not anticipate that the shortfall in parking would give rise to a detrimental highway safety impact, but the LPA are advised to consider the potential impacts on on-street parking from an amenity point of view. "

The proposal only allocates 4 car parking spaces for residents and no guest parking. This is clearly too few for a development of 6 flats (for a total of 22 people). WSCC Highways have confirmed there should be 12 spaces but have chosen to ignore the increased risks to Cuckfield Road residents by stating there is sufficient alternative parking.

The lack of sufficient dedicated car spaces for residents and guests will clearly exacerbate the existing traffic problems on Cuckfield Road which WSCC Highways have seemingly chosen to ignore to allow approval of this development. WSCC must supply detailed analysis to validate their statements on local parking and acceptable safety before approval of the development.

Kind regards