

From: Tracy Perry-Stere <Tracy@jamesclague.co.uk>
Sent: 29 May 2025 16:22:11 UTC+01:00
To: "Emily Wade" <Emily.Wade@midsussex.gov.uk>
Subject: Re: Tanyard Manor DM/25/0915
Attachments: Archive.zip
Categories: Red Category

Dear Emily,

I write in response to your comments below:

1. Basement – the existing basement walls appear to comprise a mix of stone and brick and it is not clear if the basement is contemporary to the earlier forms of construction or in fact is underbuilt perhaps as part of the early 20th improvements and landscaping. The walls have been rendered in a cementitious render, possibly with a waterproof additive, which has evidently failed under hydrostatic pressure. The floor is a concrete slab. The proposal is to add a cavity drain membrane system to the walls and floor secured with proprietary fixings to allow any penetrating moisture to drain to a floor drain. The system will allow moisture to be removed from the fabric to avoid it causing further damage or alternatively manifesting elsewhere as often brought about by barrier systems. The system is also easily reversible with wall fixings made good as necessary. The concrete slab is modern and 2 options exist, either to overlay the existing slab or replace it to allow for a trench to locate the floor drain. The proposed basement drawing has been updated to include an indicative construction detail.
2. LPG tank and cover – this will be entirely underground with just the cover evident. All pipe runs will be trenched below ground with a safety valve above ground only. Please see data sheet attached.
3. Wine store – to be omitted.
4. Replacement plank doors to C20th range – to be omitted.
5. Vent tiles – added to drawings with data sheet for typical tile attached.
6. Removal of sauna – could you confirm your approval to remove the sauna to investigate the background fabric?
7. New partitioning to form shower-room to 2nd floor – we have revised the layout to locate the shower and the basin against the new partitions to avoid impacting the historic wall fabric. The WC pan and concealed cistern will be supported off an independent frame and boxing to conceal a stub stack to collect the wastes. The floor will be slightly raised over the existing finish to allow for the 32mm shower waste pipe to collect to the stub stack, which in turn will run through the existing opening in the wall to connect via the roof void to the existing oak-lined service duct in the bathroom below. The existing bathroom to the first floor is to be upgraded and the existing soil stack has an external branch to the main external soil vent pipe, which, subject to further investigation, will be removed with a new internal stub stack to collect the wastes with a single external connection to the external stack. The applicants cautiously removed boxing to the 2nd floor in the location of the proposed drainage to reveal existing pipework connections and openings in the wall fabric to the roof void as illustrated in the accompanying photographic report. This arrangement should not result in the removal or damage of historic fabric and will enhance the external appearance by removing a soil branch.

8. Terrace to side of house – the applicants would like to create an outside seating area directly connected to the kitchen dining space and this proposal will form part of a wider (future) scheme to enhance the landscaping to include replacing the tarmac entrance driveway with a more sympathetic material. The applicant propose to replant the existing planting beds to the perimeter of the existing lawned area to create screening for privacy to the paved area, which would serve to maintain the softened appearance of the landscaping. We have updated the ground floor drawing to reflect this intention.
9. Planning permission – I confirm that the raking retaining wall is currently in place and is simply being rebuilt vertically to be more aesthetically pleasing. We would like to suggest this does not constitute new development and as such we would hope this can remain under the LBC application and not necessitate a separate application for PP. The LPG tank will also be necessary upgrading of services and is situated below ground (replacing the above ground oil tank) and as such we would also like to suggest this does not constitute development requiring an application for PP.

I hope this information is sufficient and I confirm the following amended and additional documents are to be attached to the application:

Amended documents:

27900_PL10A proposed basement floor plan and detail
27900_PL11C proposed ground floor plan
27900_PL12A proposed first floor plan
27900_PL13B proposed 2nd floor plan
27900_PL18B proposed west elevation

Additional documents:

27900_PL14 proposed roof plan
27900_PL16A proposed east elevation

LPG tank section and specification by Flogas

Vent tile detail by Tudor Tiles (indicative)

Photographic report on opening-up to 2nd floor in location of proposed shower-room.

I hope this information is sufficient to satisfy your concerns.

Kind regards,



**Tracy Perry-St
ere**
Senior Architec
t

☎ 01227 64907
3

✉ Tracy@jamesclague.co.uk

🌐 www.jamesclague.co.uk

James Clague Architects is a limited company registered in England & Wales. Registered Number: 08664802. Registered Office Address: 27 Camburgh House, New Dover Road, Canterbury, Kent, CT1 3DN. This e-mail is confidential to the intended recipient. If you have received it in error, please notify the sender and delete it from your system. Any unauthorised use, disclosure, or copying is not permitted. Precautions have been taken to minimise the risk of viruses, but no liability is accepted for any damage caused by any virus transmitted by this e-mail.

From: Emily Wade <Emily.Wade@midsussex.gov.uk>
Date: Thursday, 22 May 2025 at 11:28
To: Info @ James Clague <info@jamesclague.co.uk>
Cc: Tracy Perry-Stere <Tracy@jamesclague.co.uk>
Subject: Tanyard Manor DM/25/0915

Dear James and Tracy

I am writing in connection with the above application for listed building consent. As you know I visited the site recently and met with your clients, who helpfully showed me round and talked me through the proposals. My apologies for the delay in getting these comments to you, which has been caused by pressure of other work.

Whilst the majority of the current proposal is in my opinion not contentious, there are some areas where I consider that more information and/or amendments are required. I will go through these in the order that the works are set out in the heritage statement accompanying the application:

- The proposal includes works within the cellar to the property, including the application of waterproof render to the walls. Tanking of historic cellars can result in damage to underlying historic fabric- can you please provide a further justification for this work, and an indication of the existing fabric and finish to the cellar walls?
- With respect to the proposed new LPG tank and cover, can you please provide further details including sectional drawings, to indicate the visual impact of this work on the setting of the listed building?
- The work affecting the 20th century range at ground floor is not in my opinion problematic, subject to detail.
- With respect to the wine store within the dining room inglenook, we would not accept any form of fitted furniture within this feature, as this would detract from its proportions and appearance. However, a fully free standing wine store would not require listed building consent. Can you therefore provide more details in this respect?
- At first floor within the 20th century range I have some concerns regarding the replacement of the existing doors with plank doors. Whilst the existing doors are relatively modern, they are an honest expression of the date of this part of the building, and contribute to its narrative in that they aid a clear appreciation of the way in which it has been developed and extended over time. Planked doors referencing an earlier period would in my opinion confuse this narrative, arguably damaging the special interest of the building. I would suggest that this aspect of the proposal is therefore omitted.
- Whilst I don't think there will be an objection to the eaves or tile vent associated with the proposed new laundry room, this should be shown on the proposed drawings.

- The removal of the sauna at first floor within the 17th century range would be welcomed, however more detail of the proposed treatment of underlying fabric to floor and walls and of the ceiling reinstatement will be required. I appreciate that the nature of underlying fabric may be presently unclear, so would be happy to discuss partial opening up or exploratory work as necessary.
- Whilst the changes to the modern partitioning at second floor within the 17th century range are not considered contentious in themselves, we would need to see further information at this stage regarding the bathroom layout (including elevations), and details of, or a methodology for, the associated pipe runs. This would be to assure ourselves that the bathroom fittings such as the proposed shower enclosure will not obscure, or result in harm to, surviving features of interest at this level such as the exposed timber framing, and that the pipework can be accommodated without damage to historic fabric including floor joists, historic infill panels to walls, etc. In light of these concerns, the position of the shower enclosure may need to be reconsidered.
- Again the new eaves or tile vent should be shown on the elevations.
- There would be no objection to the use of appropriate sandstone paving to the existing paths and terrace adjacent to the house, nor do I consider the provision of a retaining wall and steps between the path adjacent to the house's western side and adjacent raised lawn contentious, subject to detail. However I am concerned regarding the proposal to give this lawned area entirely over to hard surfacing. There is already a good deal of this in the immediate vicinity of the house, and the existing lawn in this location provides a welcoming softening element to the immediate setting within which the house is appreciated- this complements its special interest as a rural building and former farmhouse, later country house. I would therefore recommend that this element of the proposal is rethought to retain the grassed surface to this area.

As you will be aware, the current target date for this application is 28th May. Given the time that will be needed for you to consider the above comments, consult with your clients, and provide revised plans and/or further information as necessary, and for me then to review any resulting submissions, I would suggest that an extension of time will be required. Depending on how long you think you will need to get back to me, I would suggest an extension until Friday 13th June may be sufficient, but please let me know if you think more time will be preferable.

You should also note that as I mentioned to your clients on site due to the nature of the landscaping work, including new retaining walls, the inclusion of the LPG tank in the scheme, and the location of these works within the curtilage of a listed building, planning permission will be required as well as listed building consent. You can find an application form and details of the relevant fee on our website, here:

[Apply for Planning Permission - Mid Sussex District Council](#)

I would be grateful for your early response regarding the extension of time,

Kind regards,

Emily Wade

Please note that this advice is given at Officer level only and is without prejudice to the formal decision of the District Council.

Submit your planning application online.
<http://www.planningportal.gov.uk>

Emily Wade Ma MSc
Conservation Officer
Planning Services
Tel: +44 (0)1444 477385
emily.wade@midsussex.gov.uk <http://www.midsussex.gov.uk>



The information contained in this email may be subject to public disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act 2000. Unless the information contained in this email is legally exempt from disclosure, we cannot guarantee that we will not provide the whole or part of this email to a third party making a request for information about the subject matter of this email. This email and any attachments may contain confidential information and is intended only to be seen and used by the named addressees. If you are not the named addressee, any use, disclosure, copying, alteration or forwarding of this email and its attachments is unauthorised. If you have received this email in error please notify the sender immediately by email or by calling +44 (0) 1444 458 166 and remove this email and its attachments from your system. The views expressed within this email and any attachments are not necessarily the views or policies of Mid Sussex District Council. We have taken precautions to minimise the risk of transmitting software viruses, but we advise you to carry out your own virus checks before accessing this email and any attachments. Except where required by law, we shall not be responsible for any damage, loss or liability of any kind suffered in connection with this email and any attachments, or which may result from reliance on the contents of this email and any attachments.