

From: planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk <planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk>
Sent: 29 May 2026 08:46:46 UTC+01:00
To: "Katherine Williams" <katherine.williams@midsussex.gov.uk>
Subject: Mid Sussex DC - Online Register - Comments for Planning Application
DM/26/1228

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 29/05/2026 8:46 AM.

Application Summary

Address:	Land West Of London Road Handcross West Sussex
Proposal:	Outline planning application (with all matters reserved except for access) for the erection of up to 55 dwellings, including affordable homes, together with green and blue infrastructure, vehicular access from London Road, pedestrian/cycle access from London Road, the provision of utility services structures and the demolition of an existing agricultural building.
Case Officer:	Katherine Williams

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Address:	Viburnum Handcross
----------	--------------------

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour or general public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	
Comments:	Here's a more polished and professional version while keeping the strength of your objection: I strongly object to this planning application, as Handcross does not currently have the infrastructure needed to support a development of this scale. Local residents already experience

ongoing issues with essential services, including frequent interruptions to the water supply despite the high costs residents pay. In addition, waiting times for appointments at the local doctor's surgery are currently around three weeks.

The High Street already struggles with congestion and poor road conditions, and the addition of residents from 55 new dwellings will only place further strain on the area. Until the local infrastructure is significantly improved to accommodate developments of this nature, I believe this application should not be approved.

I would strongly encourage local residents to object to this proposal, as it is the existing community that will ultimately suffer the consequences of inadequate infrastructure and increased pressure on local services.

Kind regards