

From: planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk <planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk>
Sent: 17 November 2025 11:16:23 UTC+00:00
To: "planninginfo" <planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk>
Subject: Mid Sussex DC - Online Register - Comments for Planning Application
DM/24/2957

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 17/11/2025 11:16 AM.

Application Summary

Address:	The Prince Albert Copthorne Bank Copthorne Crawley West Sussex RH10 3QX
Proposal:	Sever existing land to retain existing public house use and area of pub garden and erect a convenience store and 4 no. apartments with associated works, including alterations to the existing vehicular access and car park (Amended plans and retail justification received 1 May 2025) (Updated drainage, ecology and landscaping information received 26 June 2025) (Off-site highways works plan received 9 September 2025) (Retail Justification Addendum received 10 November 2025)
Case Officer:	Andrew Watt

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Address:	35 Bridgelands Copthorne
----------	--------------------------

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour or general public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	
Comments:	I am once again objecting to this application - I have rejected three times before, how many more times do we as a community need to object to this proposal? Every time it is re submitted with

minor tweaks but yet the same application - flats and a convenience store, to which we have all rejected with the same continuous reasons. How much time, resource and therefore money is this costing Mid Sussex to keep allowing this application to be put out for comment?

I object with the same reasons as before, which still stand in what has been put through for this recent application:

1. WE DO NOT NEED ANOTHER CONVENIENCE STORE IN THE VILLAGE - we have three other convenience stores already, two of which are local businesses and need to be supported. I have never objected to a small shop being built for a local business - dry cleaners, bakery, butchers etc - I am happy to support that, but we do not want or need another chain supermarket store in the village.

2. TRAFFIC AND PARKING IMPLICATIONS - as mentioned many times before, the junction on Copthorne Bank on to Brookhill Rod is already dangerous - it is very busy, you have double decker buses coming round the corners, and lots of cars using that route to get to either the A264 roundabout, or via Shipley Bridge. As a pedestrian with a child, it is already quite frightening trying to cross that bit of road. Another chain store as well as additional flats are just going to increase the traffic on that junction. There is not sufficient parking to support this application, neither is there sufficient traffic management for that style of road. This is just increasing the traffic in the village and making it very dangerous for school children the elderly and even well abled pedestrians.

3. IT IS NOT IN KEEPING WITH THE VILLAGE - Copthorne is supposed to be a village - adding a chain store in will do nothing for the aesthetics or the community feel. Whilst I am not opposed entirely to adding 4 flats, they need to be in keeping with the village - modern, tall buildings are not wanted nor will they look appropriate for the village.

4. The erection of this proposal will cause considerable traffic issues throughout the village.

WE DO NOT WANT THIS APPLICATION, PLEASE REJECT AND SUPPORT THE COMMUNITY BY CLOSING THIS DOWN.

Kind regards