

From: planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk <planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk>
Sent: 17 November 2025 11:16:29 UTC+00:00
To: "planninginfo" <planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk>
Subject: Mid Sussex DC - Online Register - Comments for Planning Application
DM/24/2957

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 17/11/2025 11:16 AM.

Application Summary

Address:	The Prince Albert Copthorne Bank Copthorne Crawley West Sussex RH10 3QX
Proposal:	Sever existing land to retain existing public house use and area of pub garden and erect a convenience store and 4 no. apartments with associated works, including alterations to the existing vehicular access and car park (Amended plans and retail justification received 1 May 2025) (Updated drainage, ecology and landscaping information received 26 June 2025) (Off-site highways works plan received 9 September 2025) (Retail Justification Addendum received 10 November 2025)
Case Officer:	Andrew Watt

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Address: 67 Church Lane Copthorne

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour or general public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	
Comments:	The justification wording of the addendum concerning the additional retail outlet smacks of big business bully tactics using legal terms to presumably baffle people.

Overwhelming opinion is we do not want yet another store.

My main objection centres around the extra traffic and parking problems this will bring to an already hazardous junction. Parking proposals are wholly inadequate to cope with a new store, new accomodation and the clientele of the Prince Albert. There are already problems with vision when pulling out onto Copthorne Bank from The Meadow and outside the existing shops. The current lay-by is inadequate with people taking advantage of the pub car park or parking down The Meadow reducing 2 lanes to 1. Exiting from Copthorne Bank to turn onto Shipley Bridge Lane is already hazardous in itself. Another access for new appartment on Brookhill Road will exacerbate this enormously. The traffic along Brookhill Road is heavy and regreably speeding not uncommon as noticed especially when the "crossing person" was nearly run over by a car. Attracting extra traffic to the area and the proposed new store will not be helpful. I object to this proposal.

Kind regards