

Anna Tidey

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 11 February 2025 11:02
To: Anna Tidey
Subject: Re: Application number DM/24/2463
Attachments: item 1.jpg; item 2.jpg; item 3.jpg; item 4.jpg; item 5.jpg

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

[REDACTED]

Dear Anna,

Further to the DM/24/2463 application the deeds to 2 Hamsland cottages can be provided to evidence the correct location of the extant physical boundaries.

Item 1: A block plan from the mid 1960's indicating a length of boundary in front of the house of 58 ft 3 inches between 2 Hamsland cottages and 1 Highfields.

Item 2: A measurement taken from the front of 2 Hamsland cottages to the established physical boundary showing a length of 58 ft 3 inches.

Item 3: A note of sale of approximately 0.017 acres (68 square meters) of the frontage of 2 Hamsland cottages to East Sussex county council.

Item 4: A measurement of the present area between the boundary of 2 Hamsland cottages and the roadway showing a total area of approximately 68 square meters.

Item 5: A photograph of the boundary between 2 Hamsland cottages and 1 Highfields; to the left of the photograph a metal pole, placed by Mr Cook to show the extent the applied for driveway. To the right of the photograph is the boundary of 2 Hamsland cottages and the highway. This is a distance of some 2.9m of intended incursion onto the property of 2 Hamsland cottages. This has not been applied for or granted.

Yours sincerely,

James Nash

Sent: Monday, February 10, 2025 4:08 PM
To: anna.tidey@midsussex.gov.uk <anna.tidey@midsussex.gov.uk>
Subject: Application number DM/24/2463

Dear Anna,

Further to our conversation, upon reviewing the DM/24/2463 application, it has come to our attention that the permission being applied for involves land at 2 Hamsland Cottages as well as land controlled

by the council/highway authority. No notification or request for access has been received for 2 Hamsland Cottages.

This is evident from the application, which includes the removal of Tree 2 (a dead/dying elder), as specified in the tree report. Please see the attached photos for the position of Tree 2 in relation to the boundaries.

During the site visit, the location of the boundaries was unclear, as Mr. Cook had removed a portion of the fence between 1 Highfields and 2 Hamsland Cottages, and his agents had removed a section of the fence between 2 Hamsland Cottages and the highway. The boundaries have since been re-established in the same location as they have been for over 20 years.

This is not an objection to the proposal; however, no access across the land of 2 Hamsland Cottages has been sought or granted. Therefore, any access to the highway will need to be closer to the road junction than indicated in the proposal.

Yours sincerely,

James Nash