

Anna Tidey

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 05 November 2024 21:47
To: Anna Tidey
Subject: DM/24/2497

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

[REDACTED]

Dear Anna,

I would like to raise my objections to the planning application of Handcross Newsagents, Highstreet, Handcross.

There having gone through the information which has been shared in the application it is apparent that there are some inaccuracies.

The property states that there are no trees within 15 meters of trees, this is incorrect there are a number of trees which are within that radius and as a conservation area the removal of these trees requires permission. The impact of the property on these trees should also be considered.

The High Street is a busy street which is the main thoroughfare for children and their families to and from school and to the recreational ground and park. The building of an extension to any business in the village should be conceded for the increase of traffic in the village. Cars already park on the pavements as there are no designated parking spaces for the shop this increases the hazard to all using the High Street.

The High Street is a popular route for cyclists to take through the village as well as being popular for pedestrians walking around the national trust property and local areas. This street is a main route for the London to Brighton cycle route, classic cars, steam engines and other events. it is highly inappropriate to increase the traffic and reduce the parking of an already congested and busy area.

The increase of traffic should also be a concern when looking at clean air in the area, as this is a newsagents there are often many shoppers who leave their cars running increasing the air and noise pollution in the village, these are usually at peak hours that children and their families are going to and from school through walking, scootering and in prams.

In the application the number of cars allocated to the property is 3 however there are 4 and or more cars which are used by this property and frequently park taking multiple spaces. An increase of residential spaces is likely to increase the number of cars which are permanently residing at the property.

The property will overshadow the garden and living areas of my property where I live with my family. The noise pollution will also increase due to shop uses and the building works as well. As this is a conservation area increasing this property will impact on the area as a whole as it will be reducing aesthetic elements to the area.

The property being increased in size will impact our living conditions through the project and especially on completion. Our properties are cottages which have been in the village for over 200 years, they have character which should be preserved to keep in line with the local area. The noise and the overlooking is going to impact on our privacy as well as others. The loss of privacy and having more overlooking from the property is going to impact our home.

From one property to the other there are shared drainage systems which will be under increased strain from the increase of residential size and the shop is proposing a toilet which means there will be an increase of public use of the drainage system in an area which struggles with its water and drainage already.

There are covenants between the properties around the access to the drains and this would prevent this.

I believe this will directly impact our properties value.

Please consider these objections,

Kind regards,

