

From: Jonathon Pérez <jonathonperez@gmail.com>
Sent: 11 May 2025 08:27:37 UTC+01:00
To: "Anna Tidey" <anna.tidey@midsussex.gov.uk>
Cc: "Emily Wade" <Emily.Wade@midsussex.gov.uk>; "Joanne Fisher" <Joanne.Fisher@midsussex.gov.uk>
Subject: Re: DM/25/0270 and DM/25/0271 The Toll House, High Street, Lindfield

Hi Anna,

Thank you for your email.

If you could provide the draft conditions as soon as available this would be most appreciated, to support the target date of the 20th.

Kind regards

On Fri, 2 May 2025 at 15:42, Anna Tidey <anna.tidey@midsussex.gov.uk> wrote:

Dear Mr Perez

I apologise for my delay in responding to you with regards to your applications DM/25/0270 and DM/25/0271.

Thank you for your emails, for the additional supporting information that you have provided and for the latest Amended Plans (Proposed Roof Layout and Proposed Elevation from the North) which I received on 25.04.2025. These plans have been uploaded to the files. The details shown on these Amended Plans are acceptable to Emily Wade.

I am mindful that I have not requested your agreement to an extension of time for the Decision Target Dates for both applications. The expiry date for comments, as displayed on the Site Notices, expires today. The date for any comments to be made on the Special Press Advert expires on 9th May 2025.

I am on Leave from work next week, and I will return on 12th May. Whilst I cannot predetermine the applications I am aware that you have the support of Emily Wade, subject to some additional details being approved by planning conditions.

I would therefore like to request your agreement to an Extension of time for the Decision Target Dates for both DM/25/0270 and DM/25/0271 to 20th May 2025.

This will enable me to prepare and send you a set of Draft Planning Conditions for review, ideally over the weekend of 17th/18th May 2025, ahead of completing my recommendation report. I hope that this timescale is acceptable to you.

I look forward to hearing from you.

Regards

Anna Tidey

Planning Officer

Submit your planning application online.

<http://www.planningportal.gov.uk>

Anna Tidey BA(Hons) MPhil MRTPI

Planning Officer

Development Management

Tel: +44 (0)1444 477342

anna.tidey@midsussex.gov.uk

<http://www.midsussex.gov.uk>



Working together for a Better Mid Sussex.



From: Jonathon Pérez

Sent: 25 April 2025 14:30

To: Emily Wade <Emily.Wade@midsussex.gov.uk>

Cc: Anna Tidey <anna.tidey@midsussex.gov.uk>; Joanne Fisher <joanne.fisher@midsussex.gov.uk>

Subject: Re: Conservation Officer comments for DM/25/0270 and 0271 The Toll House, High Street, LF

Afternoon Anna,

I have seen the traffic on the portal. In particular, regarding Emily's note: " W.r.t. the rooflight to the side roofslope, this appears to be a misunderstanding- I am not referring to the rebuilt rear extension but to the side roof of the main house- one of the proposed drawings shows a rooflight to the northern side of the main house roof. Perhaps this is an error, but if not it does raise the concerns previously noted. "

Apologies for the misunderstanding. This is fantastic news though, we are really thrilled to have this clarified. Thank you so much.

As such, we have reverted the outbuilding rooflights to be as the original submitted plans Emily commented on, but retained the lean-to rooflights at the reduced size of 64%, in line with the now understood comments.

Please find updated drawings attached (these are saved in portrait, rather than landscape, as I see on the portal, the previous revisions of these 2 drawings recently sent are uploaded incorrectly, I hope this helps).

With regards to the rooflight in the main house, this is existing. Please see a recent photo below. According to the Velux stamp, this model was manufactured prior to 1991. There are no plans in the proposal to alter this.



If there is anything else we can help with or provide, or something that will prevent a positive determination please let us know.

Kind regards

Jon

On Tue, 22 Apr 2025 at 08:06, Jonathon Pérez wrote:

Good Morning Emily, I hope you had a good Easter break.

We have provided a response to your comments, thank you for sending them through, they are very positive which is great. We have also had some time and provided revised drawings. (attached again just for ease of reference)

The readvertisement of the proposal is due to end this Friday.

We are naturally looking for a positive determination and so are keen to have a continued dialogue and understand your thoughts on the revised proposal guided by your comments.

We understand everybody has a high workload and so your assistance with getting the plans for our family home over the line is very much appreciated.

If there is anything we can do to assist, please let us know.

Thank you.

On Tue, 15 Apr 2025 at 13:01, Jonathon Pérez wrote:

Anna,

Please find attached drawings with the roof lights reduced in the lean-to section and outbuilding section, as per Emiliys comments for her review.

These windows are now only 64% of the original proposal.

Thank you.

On Mon, 7 Apr 2025 at 09:05, Jonathon Pérez wrote:

Anna,

Many thanks for passing on Emily's comments, I have added them to the word file attached, to make it easier to review and respond. Emily's comments are in black and ours in red, in the attached. All looks great and we will provide the documentation required as per the conditions. We are happy to clarify anything if required.

There is only one comment that we would like to explore. This relates to the rooflights in our proposed kitchen, which will be the heart of our home and because of this we are requesting this be reviewed by Emily.

Thank you and I trust we can resolve this one item within the timeframe for determination on 28-Apr-2025.

Emily's comment are in black and ours in red:

"The new rooflight to the side roofslope appears somewhat incongruous in this currently unbroken roof slope. We also have no information in front of us regarding the impact on the historic fabric of the roof. As per the proposal and acceptance above the outbuilding and its roof would be removed and replaced (the asbestos roof would be disposed of). I would recommend that this aspect of the proposal is omitted. Our Heritage team have again reviewed this weekend and do not believe this element of the proposal with the current design is harmful to the property.

In the interests of resolving, it is proposed: If the rooflights are reduced in scale would this be considered acceptable, as per the other rooflights? If this is still not considered acceptable, if the proposal reduces the rooflights in this area from 3 to 2 and a reduction in the scale, would this be considered acceptable?

These drawings are part of the conditions, as such, we assume updated drawings for these rooflights are not required prior to determination, following agreement.

Thank you for your consideration."

The information contained in this email may be subject to public disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act 2000. Unless the information contained in this email is legally exempt from disclosure, we cannot guarantee that we will not provide the whole or part of this email to a third party making a request for information about the subject matter of this email. This email and any attachments may contain confidential information and is intended only to be seen and used by the named addressees. If you are not the named addressee, any use, disclosure, copying, alteration or forwarding of this email and its attachments is unauthorised. If you have received this email in error please notify the sender immediately by email or by calling +44 (0) 1444 458 166 and remove this email and its attachments from your system. The views expressed within this email and any attachments are not necessarily the views or policies of Mid Sussex District Council. We have taken precautions to minimise the risk of transmitting software viruses, but we advise you to carry out your own virus checks before accessing this email and any attachments. Except where required by law, we shall not be responsible for any damage, loss or liability of any kind suffered in connection with this email and any attachments, or which may result from reliance on the contents of this email and any attachments.