

From: planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk <planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk>
Sent: 25 January 2026 14:11:39 UTC+00:00
To: "Rachel Richardson" <rachel.richardson@midsussex.gov.uk>
Subject: Mid Sussex DC - Online Register - Comments for Planning Application
DM/25/3191

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 25/01/2026 2:11 PM.

Application Summary

Address:	Land To The South Of Burleigh Lane Crawley Down West Sussex
Proposal:	Outline application with all matters reserved except for access from Burleigh Lane, for the erection of up to eight self-build/custom build dwellings, drainage and ancillary works.
Case Officer:	Rachel Richardson

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Address:	Kittiwake Sandhill Lane Crawley Down Crawley West Sussex
----------	--

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour or general public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	
Comments:	<p>As a resident of Sandhill Lane I object to the proposed application for the following reasons-</p> <p>The proposal is an outline application for six no. 4 plus bed properties and 2 no. 3 plus bed properties with 27 parking spaces and access.</p> <p>The density of the proposed development is out of character with the immediate area on the south side of Burleigh Lane which contains very few properties on large plots. This appears contrary to policy DP26 of the Council's Local Plan.</p>

The proposal site is outside the boundary of the built up area as identified in the Crawley Down Neighbourhood Plan. This is a material factor which must be given considerable weight.

The traffic report accompanying the application refers to formal passing places on both Sandhill Lane and Burleigh Lane. This is entirely incorrect. There are NO formal passing places on the lanes - the applicant is referring to driveways to existing homes - these are private property and as such cannot be used to justify accepting the proposal when there are significant road safety concerns. The lanes are public rights of way and are used extensively by pedestrians and horses. An additional 27 plus cars for the proposed new homes plus the inevitable delivery vehicles/maintenance vehicles that come with residential developments and the heavy goods vehicles used during the construction period will create a significant change to the existing traffic on the lane.

The additional development proposed will have a negative impact on the flora and fauna that occupy the site.

The proposed homes can be described as family homes. The existing infrastructure in terms of schools and GP surgeries is at full capacity.

The village is not well served by public transport and the new properties cannot be described as sustainable as they will be reliant on private transport by car.

I urge the Council to refuse this planning application and have asked my local councillor to request the application is called in for determination by the planning committee because it is contrary to the adopted neighbourhood plan and possible contraction to policies with the Council's local plan.

Kind regards