

From: planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk <planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk>
Sent: 25 January 2026 16:27:45 UTC+00:00
To: "Rachel Richardson" <rachel.richardson@midsussex.gov.uk>
Subject: Mid Sussex DC - Online Register - Comments for Planning Application
DM/25/3191

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 25/01/2026 4:27 PM.

Application Summary

Address:	Land To The South Of Burleigh Lane Crawley Down West Sussex
Proposal:	Outline application with all matters reserved except for access from Burleigh Lane, for the erection of up to eight self-build/custom build dwellings, drainage and ancillary works.
Case Officer:	Rachel Richardson

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Address:	Plurenden Burleigh Lane Crawley Down
----------	--------------------------------------

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour or general public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	
Comments:	I wish to formally object to the above planning application on the grounds of highway safety, increased traffic impact, and harm to pedestrian safety.

The proposal would double the number of houses on the lane, which would inevitably lead to a significant increase in vehicle movements. The application site is accessed via a single-track lane which is a dead end and has no passing places. This lane is entirely unsuitable for any increase in traffic, vehicles already

experience difficulty passing one another.

Importantly, this lane also forms part of the High Weald footpath network and is heavily used by pedestrians, including families, children, and dog walkers. There is no provision for stopping or parking along the lane, and its narrow width means there is no safe separation between vehicles and pedestrians. Any increase in traffic would therefore pose a serious and unacceptable risk to public safety, particularly to children.

Due to the lack of turning space at the end of the lane, vehicles are frequently required to reverse for long distances, further increasing the danger to walkers and other road users. Increased congestion could also compromise access for emergency vehicles.

The scale of the proposed development, combined with the unsuitable access arrangements and the lane's use as a public footpath, makes the application contrary to highway safety principles and local planning policies designed to protect residential amenity and pedestrian safety.

For these reasons, I strongly urge the Planning Authority to refuse this application.

Kind regards