

**From:** planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk <planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk>  
**Sent:** 25 January 2026 20:25:02 UTC+00:00  
**To:** "Rachel Richardson" <rachel.richardson@midsussex.gov.uk>  
**Subject:** Mid Sussex DC - Online Register - Comments for Planning Application  
DM/25/3191

## Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 25/01/2026 8:25 PM.

### Application Summary

Address:	Land To The South Of Burleigh Lane Crawley Down West Sussex
Proposal:	Outline application with all matters reserved except for access from Burleigh Lane, for the erection of up to eight self-build/custom build dwellings, drainage and ancillary works.
Case Officer:	Rachel Richardson

[Click for further information](#)

### Customer Details

Address:	The Orchard Sandhill Lane Crawley Down
----------	--

### Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour or general public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	
Comments:	<p>I object to the planning application for the following reasons:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. It does not conform the Crawley Down Neighbourhood Plan. This is the official document that lays out the development for Crawley Down for a number of years and this area is not represented in the plan.</li><li>2. The development is in an area of countryside (not built-up area) and was never intended to be developed. We should not be over-developing our natural spaces.</li></ol>

3. Approval has already been given for 48 properties on the northern side of Burleigh Lane, this further represents unplanned urban spread to the south. When is enough is enough? The additional 8 properties will represent a more than doubling of properties on Burleigh Lane.
4. Sandhill Lane, a small private, single track lane, is needed to be able to access Burleigh Lane. It is wholly maintained by its residents. Sandhill Lane cannot take the current traffic levels, it is eroding badly. This proposal will result in significantly more vehicle journeys on a daily basis and add further significant burden.
5. Sandhill Lane is home to dog walkers, horse riders, and families walking their children to/from school. The additional traffic, specifically construction traffic, will significantly engage residents and visitors. Who will be responsible if a serious incident was to occur?
6. On construction traffic, Sandhill Lane is a single track lane, there are no passing places. How will large construction lorries be able to transit safely and effectively up and down the lane? Especially with potentially 8 plots being developed at the same time. It will result in grid-lock, disputes and confrontation with residents.

I am not objecting to single dwellings and residents improving their properties. I do however object to just development to make money for the landowner, with no regard for the community that already live there.

Regards,

Paul Watson

---

Kind regards