

From: David Hutchison <david.hutchison@btinternet.com>
Sent: 24 July 2025 11:41:38 UTC+01:00
To: "Anna Tidey" <anna.tidey@midsussex.gov.uk>
Cc: "Amir Khan" <amrak47@yahoo.co.uk>
Subject: Re: DM/25/0579 -Hammonds Mill_Class Q Application - Request for further information
Attachments: HM_FRA_EA LETTER on NODES_Product 4 request for the site at 530280117581_NODE POINT QUERY_doc issue.pdf

Hi Anna,

Thank you for the EA comments and suggested planning condition.

I have discussed this with the applicant/owner and they are comfortable with the proposed condition and the EA advice that future occupants should be invited to register with the EA Floodline, to which Hammonds Mill Farmhouse and Old Dairy Cottage are already signed up.

You will be aware that the MSDC Flood Risk and Drainage Team initial view on FFLs at Barns 3/4 (Dwellings 3/4) is not entirely consistent with the EA proposed condition, although they have still to comment on our latest FRA (Response 2 to MSDC FRaDT comments) which does address the difference in view between the EA and MSDC FRaDT.

The difference lies in which node point from the EA Product 4 Flood Risk map should be used to determine the 1 in 100 + 35% CC flood level to the land to the south and east of Barns 3/4.

We did refer this to the EA and attach their response, which is consistent with the proposed EA condition and which has also been referred to and included in our latest FRA, so the FRaDT will see it when reviewing our latest FRA.

All the best.

David

David Hutchison B Arch PG Dip TP

DJH Architectural and Planning Consultants
3 The Old Brewery
21b Warwick Road

Brighton
BN11 3ET

t 07976 287111
e david.hutchison@btinternet.com

On 15 Jul 2025, at 12:01, Anna Tidey <anna.tidey@midsussex.gov.uk> wrote:

Dear David

Since I sent my earlier email I have received the Environment Agency's comments, see attached.

Please review their suggested planning condition and advice with your client.

Regards

Anna

Submit your planning application online.
<http://www.planningportal.gov.uk>

Anna Tidey BA(Hons) MPhil MRTPI
Planning Officer
Development Management

Tel: +44 (0)1444 477342
anna.tidey@midsussex.gov.uk <http://www.midsussex.gov.uk>

<image001.png>

Working together for a Better Mid Sussex.



- **SAVE A TREE** Only print this email if absolutely necessary.

From: Anna Tidey <anna.tidey@midsussex.gov.uk>

Sent: 15 July 2025 10:25

To: David Hutchison <david.hutchison@btinternet.com>

Subject: RE: 2025.07.04 Re: DM/25/0579 -Hammonds Mill_Class Q Application - Request for further information

Dear David

Thank you for your recent email, and for your suggested Extension of time to 08.08.2025 for the submission of further information. Upon receipt this will need to be sent on for comment to the MSDC Flood and Drainage Team. This will require a further 21 day consultation period. I can advise you of the required timescale once the submission is made.

In the meantime I am awaiting the Environment Agency's response, which I expect by 21.07.2025. Upon receipt this will be uploaded to the Public Register.

Thank you.

Regards

Anna

Submit your planning application online.
<http://www.planningportal.gov.uk>

Anna Tidey BA(Hons) MPhil MRTPI
Planning Officer
Development Management

Tel: +44 (0)1444 477342
anna.tidey@midsussex.gov.uk <http://www.midsussex.gov.uk>

<image001.png>

Working together for a Better Mid Sussex.



- SAVE A TREE Only print this email if absolutely necessary.

From: David Hutchison <david.hutchison@btinternet.com>
Sent: 08 July 2025 11:19
To: Anna Tidey <anna.tidey@midsussex.gov.uk>
Cc: Amir Khan <amrak47@yahoo.co.uk>
Subject: Re: 2025.07.04 Re: DM/25/0579 -Hammonds Mill_Class Q Application - Request for further information

Hi Anna,

Thank you for the Flood Risk and Drainage Team further comments and their request for further, additional information.

I can confirm that our client has instructed us to provide the additional information in association with flood risk and drainage strategy consultants.

We have liaised with them and are confident that the potential for flooding issues raised by MSDC can be answered by correlating the topographical survey data with the Environment Agency flood level data and WSCC surface water design flood levels and also using the topographical survey and drainage survey to develop the drainage strategy in more detail.

We anticipate the information will be submitted to MSDC within 10 days and allowing the 21 day period for review by the Flood Risk and Drainage Team, we confirm our agreement to a further extension of time for the decision date until, 8 August 2025.

All the best.

David

On 4 Jul 2025, at 16:30, Anna Tidey
<anna.tidey@midsussex.gov.uk> wrote:

Dear David

I have received the attached Drainage comments for the above application this afternoon. As you can see they require a significant amount of additional supporting information.

Please can you confirm if your clients will wish to submit the additional information?

If this matter cannot be addressed I will have to make a recommendation that the application is refused, due to insufficient information to avoid a flooding issue.

Please let me know how you wish to proceed.

Regards

Anna Tidey
Planning Officer

Submit your planning application online.
<http://www.planningportal.gov.uk>

Anna Tidey BA(Hons) MPhil MRTPI
Planning Officer
Development Management

Tel: +44 (0)1444 477342
anna.tidey@midsussex.gov.uk <http://www.midsussex.gov.uk>

<image002.png>

Working together for a Better Mid Sussex.



- **SAVE A TREE** Only print this email if absolutely necessary.

From: drainage <drainage@midsussex.gov.uk>
Sent: 04 July 2025 15:25
To: Anna Tidey <anna.tidey@midsussex.gov.uk>
Cc: drainage <drainage@midsussex.gov.uk>
Subject: 2025.07.04 Re: DM/25/0579 -Hammonds Mill_Class Q Application - Request for further information

Dear Anna,

Thank you for reconsulting the Flood Risk and Drainage Team on the above application. We have reviewed the following submitted information:

- Flood Risk Assessment and Drainage Strategy RESPONSE to MSDC Flood Risk and Drainage Team Comments on FRA (UPDATED) - 19 June 2025

We have the following comments:

Fluvial (River) Flood Risk

The applicant has submitted the Environment Agency (EA) Product 4 data as part of the updated FRA. The flood model is 1D only.

Node 363 has been utilised within the report. We disagree with this approach.

In line with best practice and national guidance, a conservative approach should be followed. This requires using the in-channel model node upstream of the site (Node 365) to define flood levels. Node 365 indicates a 1 in 100-year + 35% climate change flood level of 27.86mAOD. This climate change uplift is consistent with the Environment Agency's guidance for the Adur and Ouse Management Catchment (central allowance = 37%).

Based on this data, finished floor levels (FFLs) for residential units should be set at least 600mm above the 1:100 + CC flood level due to the presence of ground floor sleeping accommodation, giving a minimum acceptable FFL of 28.46mAOD.

The proposed FFLs for Barns 1 and 2 (Dwellings 1 and 2) are set at 29.80mAOD, which provides adequate freeboard and is acceptable with respect to fluvial flood risk.

Barn 3 (Dwellings 3 and 4) proposes a finished floor level of 27.55mAOD, which does not provide sufficient freeboard above the modelled 1:100 + 35% CC flood level. We therefore object to this proposed floor level and request that the applicant revise the design to provide an FFL of at least 28.46mAOD.

The 1:100 + 35% CC flood level (27.86mAOD) must be clearly mapped onto the topographic survey, for both the site layout and the proposed safe pedestrian escape route to the north.

A plan must be provided showing the location, alignment, and level of the safe pedestrian escape route. It must be demonstrated that this route remains dry and passable during a 1:100-year + CC fluvial flood event.

It must be demonstrated that no increase in built footprint or land raising (including ramps or terraces) occurs within the 1:100-year + climate change fluvial flood extent.

It should be noted that the EA flood model only includes the EA 'Main River' – the Herring Stream, and does not model the flood risk to the site from the numerous interconnected ditches and watercourses (including the mill race, sluices, culverts and overflow streams).

Pluvial (Surface Water) Flood Risk

It is unclear from the updated Flood Risk Assessment how the surface water flood level (29.15) has been derived, or what methodology has been used to interpret the EA Risk of Flooding from Surface Water (RoFSW) mapping.

In line with West Sussex Lead Local Flood Authority (LLFA) guidance, and in the absence of bespoke modelling, we consider the 1 in 1,000 year + climate change (2040–2060) surface water extent to be a proxy for the 1 in 100 + CC design flood for surface water.

The EA Risk of Flooding from Surface Water (RoFSW) mapping indicates that Barns 1 and 2 are within an area at Low Risk from Surface Water at present day—comparable to Flood Zone 2.

For the 2040–2060 epoch, Barns 1 and 2 are shown to be at Medium Risk from Surface Water Flooding, with flood depths exceeding 0.30m for the Low — (1:100 + climate change flood extent analogue).

The land immediately north and east of Barns 1 and 2 is shown to be at High Risk from Surface Water Flooding—both at present day and with climate change (between 2040 – 2060) —comparable to Flood Zone 3b.

Flood depths exceeding 0.90m are shown adjacent to the north and east of Barns 1 and 2 for the Low (1:100 + climate change flood extent analogue).

Flood depths exceeding 0.30m are shown with the footprint of Barns 1 and 2 for the Low (1:100 + climate change flood extent analogue).

Barns 1 and 2 would appear to be partially open sided, which could allow depths of 0.90m of surface water to enter the barn.

In line with the Environment Agency’s Standing Advice, the applicant must demonstrate how the development will be protected from surface water flooding—this includes raising finished floor levels above the estimated surface water flood depths.

As such, the finished floor level of Barns 1 and 2 should be at least 300mm above adjacent ground level, with an additional 600mm of flood risk mitigation.

<image001.png>

<image004.png>

Safe Access and Escape

In accordance with national planning guidance, including the NPPF and Planning Practice Guidance on Flood Risk and Coastal Change, all new development must demonstrate that a safe route of access and egress is available during times of flood.

For this site, the applicant must clearly identify and map a pedestrian escape route that remains safe, dry, and accessible during both the:

- 1 in 100-year plus climate change fluvial flood event, and
- 1 in 100-year plus climate change surface water flood event (typically represented by the 1:1000 year + CC RoFSW extent).

This route should connect to safe, publicly accessible land located outside of high flood risk areas (i.e., Flood Zones 2/3 or surface water flood risk zones equivalent).

The Risk of Flooding from Surface Water mapping shows the access road/driveway to the Barns at high risk from surface water flooding during the present day and in the climate change range of 2040 – 2060.

The proposed pedestrian escape route to the north should be clearly outlined on a map / plan. It must be demonstrated that the escape route is safe for the 1:100 + climate change flood extent (surface water design flood).

Where a safe route cannot be demonstrated, additional mitigation or site layout revisions may be required. Failure to provide a suitable means of escape may render the development unacceptable in terms of flood risk to occupants.

Surface Water Drainage

As per our response 30-05-2025, It is proposed that the development will utilise the existing drainage arrangements on site. The barns currently discharge surface water to a main culvert which runs below the farmyard which ultimately discharges to the overflow stream (formerly for the millpond/mill race) that runs south to join the Herrings Stream.

No further information has been provided at this stage.

Foul Water Drainage

As per our response 30-05-2025 ,It is proposed that the development utilise a Klargester Bio Disc sewage treatment will either use the existing effluent discharge to the Herrings Stream, or a new connection with an application under 'standard rules' for a permit.

If a shared package treatment plant is proposed which is located outside the application boundary, we would expect the applicant to provide evidence that permission has been obtained to connect to the treatment plant, that it is adequately sized to take the additional flows and a foul water maintenance and management plan which identifies responsible parties for the lifetime of the dwellings will be required for the shared system.

No further information has been provided at this stage.

Ordinary Watercourse Consent

The applicant is advised that if any new development (including construction activities) is to take place within 5 metres of any drain or watercourse. It is important that Ordinary Watercourse Consent (OWC) is fully obtained before works are undertaken near this watercourse. It is important to understand that this Planning consultation deals with the flood risk impact of the

proposed development, but the OWC will deal with the impact of the watercourse alteration. So, whilst the OWC is not a Planning matter, it will need to run alongside this application. Details for OWC are in the advice sections of this consultation.

OWC application: <https://www.westsussex.gov.uk/fire-emergencies-and-crime/dealing-with-extreme-weather/dealing-withflooding/flood-risk-management/ordinary-watercourse-land-drainage-consent/>

Summary of Further Information Required

At this time, we will require the following further information:

Fluvial Flood Risk

Revised Finished Floor Level (Barn 3):

- The proposed FFL of 27.55mAOD for Barn 3 (Dwellings 3 and 4) is not acceptable.
- The FFL must be raised to **a minimum of 28.46mAOD** to provide 600mm freeboard above the 1:100-year +35% climate change flood level (27.86mAOD at EA Node 365), in accordance with EA Standing Advice for residential development with ground floor sleeping accommodation.

Flood Level Mapping:

- The 1:100 +35% CC flood level (27.86mAOD) must be clearly mapped onto:
 - The **topographic survey of the site**, and
 - The **proposed safe pedestrian escape route to the north**.

Pedestrian Escape Route Plan:

- A **plan must be provided** clearly showing the route of safe escape during flood events, including level data, route alignment, and connection to high ground outside flood risk areas.

Confirmation of No Built Footprint or Land Raising:

- The applicant must confirm that there will be **no built footprint or land raising**, including non-floodable ramps or access paths, within the fluvial **1:100-year plus climate change extent**.

Assessment of Unmodelled Watercourses:

- As the EA model only includes the **Main River (Herring Stream)**, the applicant must assess flood risk from **all on-site and adjacent watercourses**, including:
 - The **mill race, interconnected ditches, culverts, sluices, and overflow streams**.
- Any reliance on these features for site drainage or flood management must be supported by evidence of their capacity, condition, and management.

Surface Water (Pluvial) Flood Risk

Overlay of Development Layout on RoFSW Mapping:

- The proposed layout (including all buildings, terraces, and curtilages) must be overlaid onto the **Risk of Flooding from Surface Water (RoFSW)** maps (2040–2060) to demonstrate:
 - No built development occurs within the **1:100 + climate change surface water flood extent** (treated as Flood Zone 3b equivalent).
 - No obstruction of existing flow paths.

Confirmation of Finished Floor Level Strategy (Barns 1 and 2):

- Confirm that the proposed FFLs (29.80mAOD) are:
 - At least **300mm above adjacent external ground levels**, and
 - Provide at least **600mm flood mitigation** above predicted surface water flood depths, as required by EA Standing Advice.

Ingress Protection for Open-Sided Barns:

- Provide details on how Barns 1 and 2 (partially open-sided) will be **protected from surface water ingress**, particularly where adjacent predicted depths exceed **0.90m**.

Safe Escape Route – Surface Water Event:

- Demonstrate that the pedestrian escape route to the north remains **safe and passable** in the **1:100 + CC surface water flood event**, using RoFSW (2040–2060) mapping.

Foul Water Drainage

If a **shared** package treatment plant is proposed which is located outside the application boundary, we would expect the applicant to provide evidence that permission has been obtained to connect to the treatment plant, that it is adequately sized to take the additional flows and a foul water maintenance and management plan which identifies responsible parties for the lifetime of the dwellings will be required for the shared system.

We will then be able to provide further comment once received.

Receipt of the requested additional information does not mean further information will not be requested, nor does it guarantee that the Flood Risk and Drainage Team will not object to the development. Neither does it prevent the team from recommending a flood risk or drainage condition.

Best Wishes

Flood Risk and Drainage Team
Estate Services and Building Control

The information contained in this email may be subject to public disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act 2000. Unless the information contained in this email is legally exempt from disclosure, we cannot guarantee that we will not provide the whole or part of this email to a third party making a request for information about the subject matter of this email. This email and any attachments may contain confidential information and is intended only to be seen and used by the named addressees. If you are not the named addressee, any use, disclosure, copying, alteration or forwarding of this email and its attachments is unauthorised. If you have received this email in error please notify the sender immediately by email or by calling +44 (0) 1444 458 166 and remove this email and its attachments from your system. The views expressed within this email and any attachments are not necessarily the views or policies of Mid Sussex District Council. We have taken precautions to minimise the risk of transmitting software viruses, but we advise you to carry out your own virus checks before accessing this email and any attachments. Except where required by law, we shall not be responsible for any damage, loss or liability of any kind suffered in connection with this email and any attachments, or which may result from reliance on the contents of this email and any attachments.

The information contained in this email may be subject to public disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act 2000. Unless the information contained in this email is legally exempt from disclosure, we cannot guarantee that we will not provide the whole or part of this email to a third party making a request for information about the subject matter of this email. This email and any attachments may contain confidential information and is intended only to be seen and used by the named addressees. If you are not the named addressee, any use, disclosure, copying, alteration or forwarding of this email and its attachments is unauthorised. If you have received this email in error please notify the sender immediately by email or by calling +44 (0) 1444 458 166 and remove this email and its attachments from your system. The views expressed within this email and any attachments are not necessarily the views or policies of Mid Sussex District Council. We have taken precautions to minimise the risk of transmitting software viruses, but we advise you to carry out your own virus checks before accessing this email and any attachments. Except where required by law, we shall not be responsible for any damage, loss or liability of any kind suffered in connection with this email and any attachments, or which may result from reliance on the contents of this email and any attachments.<PlanningProposal.rtf>