

Delegated Decision

Sign off Sheet

Ref. No:	DM/26/0842	Case Officer:	Tracie Axford
Application Type:	Householder Application		
Proposal:	Demolition of existing porch and replacement with new porch.		
Site:	8 Gleave Close, East Grinstead, West Sussex, RH19 3XD, , , ,		
Validation Date	10 Apr 2026	Overall Expiry Date:	4 May 2026
Pre-Commencement Conditions Required:		Pre-Com Conditions Date Agreed:	
Recommendation:	Permission	Recommendation Date:	4 Jun 2026
Target Date:	5 Jun 2026	Recommending Officer Signature:	<i>Tracie Axford</i>

Date Legal Agreement Completed: (if applicable)		No of Representations:	0
--	--	-------------------------------	---

Signed and Agreed By:	<i>Steven King</i>	Date:	5 Jun 2026
Comments:			

MID SUSSEX DISTRICT COUNCIL

DM/26/0842

**8 Gleave Close, East Grinstead, West Sussex, RH19 3XD, , ,
Demolition of existing porch and replacement with new porch.
Mr Lee Barnes**

SUMMARY OF REPRESENTATIONS

None received

SUMMARY OF CONSULTEES

None

TOWN/PARISH COUNCIL OBSERVATIONS

East Grinstead Town Council made no comment on this application.

INTRODUCTION

The application seeks planning permission the demolition of existing porch and replacement with new porch.

RELEVANT PLANNING HISTORY

None

SITE AND SURROUNDINGS

The application property is a two-storey detached dwelling located on the southern side of Gleave Close which is a cul-de sac off of Lynton Park Avenue within the built-up area boundary of East Grinstead.

The application property is constructed from brick, with plain roof tiles and white fenestration. The dwelling has a pitched roof and a single bay garage set back to the side with a driveway leading to it. Neighbouring dwellings are located to the south, east and west of the site, whilst the highway is to the north.

APPLICATION DETAILS

The proposal seeks planning permission for the demolish the existing mono pitched roof and replace this with a dual pitched roof porch of the same width, but an increased depth by approximately 1.1 metres. External materials proposed will match those of the existing dwelling.

LEGAL FRAMEWORK AND LIST OF POLICIES

Planning legislation holds that the determination of a planning application shall be made in accordance with the Development Plan unless material considerations indicate otherwise.

Using this as the starting point the development plan for this part of Mid Sussex consists of the District Plan, Site Allocation Development Plan Document (DPD) and the East Grinstead Neighbourhood Plan.

National policy (which is contained in the National Planning Policy Framework and National Planning Policy Guidance) does not form part of the development plan, but is an important material consideration.

Mid Sussex District Plan

The District Plan was adopted at Full Council on 28th March 2018.

Relevant policies:

DP21 - Transport

DP26 - Character and Design

Site Allocations DPD

The SADPD was adopted on 29th June 2022. It allocates sufficient housing and employment land to meet identified needs to 2031.

There are no relevant policies.

East Grinstead Neighbourhood Plan

The East Grinstead Neighbourhood plan was made in November 2016.

Relevant Policy:

Policy EG3 - Promoting Good Design

Other Material Considerations

Mid Sussex District Plan 2021 - 2039 - Submission Draft (Regulation 19)

The District Council is reviewing and updating the District Plan. Upon adoption, the new District Plan 2021 - 2039 will replace the current District Plan 2014-2031 and its policies will have full weight. In accordance with the NPPF, Local Planning Authorities may give weight to relevant policies of the emerging plan according to the stage of preparation; the extent to which there are unresolved objections to the relevant policies; and the degree of consistency of the relevant policies in the emerging plan to the NPPF. The draft District Plan 2021-2039 (Regulation 19) is currently at Examination and hearings were concluded on the 19th March 2026. The District Plan remains at Examination until the Inspector has published his report, until that time only minimal weight can be given to the Plan and this planning application has been assessed against the policies of the adopted District Plan.

Relevant policies:

DPS1 - Climate Change

DPS2 - Sustainable Design and Construction

DPB1 - Character and Design

Mid Sussex Design Guide Supplementary Planning Document (SPD)

The Council has adopted a 'Mid Sussex Design Guide' SPD that aims to help deliver high quality development across the district that responds appropriately to its context and is inclusive and sustainable. The Design Guide was adopted by Council on 4th November 2020 as an SPD for use in the consideration and determination of planning applications. The SPD is a material consideration in the determination of planning applications.

National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) (December 2024)

The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) 2024 is a material consideration.

ASSESSMENT

It is considered that the main issues that need to be considered in the determination of this application are as follows;

- Design and Character
- Impact on neighbouring properties
- Impact on Parking
- Biodiversity Net Gain

Design and Character

Policy DP26 of the Mid Sussex District Plan states in part:

'All development and surrounding spaces, including alterations and extensions to existing buildings and replacement dwellings, will be well designed and reflect the distinctive character of the towns and villages while being sensitive to the countryside. All applicants will be required to demonstrate that development:

- *is of high quality design and layout and includes appropriate landscaping and greenspace; - contributes positively to, and clearly defines, public and private realms and should normally be designed with active building frontages facing streets and public open spaces to animate and provide natural surveillance;*
- *creates a sense of place while addressing the character and scale of the surrounding buildings and landscape;*
- *protects open spaces, trees and gardens that contribute to the character of the area;*
- *protects valued townscapes and the separate identity and character of towns and villages;*

- does not cause significant harm to the amenities of existing nearby residents and future occupants of new dwellings, including taking account of the impact on privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight, and noise, air and light pollution (see Policy DP27);'

Policy EG3 of the East Grinstead Neighbourhood Plan seeks to promote good design through the following measures:

- 'a) The form of the proposed development is proportionate and in keeping with the scale, height, materials and site coverage of the surrounding area;*
- b) The layout of the proposed development respects the topography and character of the site, protects important landscape features and does not harm adjoining amenity;*
- c) The proposal does not result in the loss of buildings or spaces that would have an unacceptable impact on the character of the area;*
- d) The proposal ensures satisfactory means of access for vehicles and pedestrians and provides adequate parking, cycle storage and refuse facilities on site;*
- e) The design of new buildings and the layout of spaces, including footways, car and cycle parking areas, should be permeable and provide connectivity with neighbouring areas;*
- f) New development must be inclusive and where appropriate make satisfactory provision for the safe and easy access for those with mobility impairment; and*
- g) The design of new developments must result in the creation of a safe and secure environment and incorporate adequate security measures and features to deter crime, fear of crime, disorder and anti-social behaviour; and*
- h) Proposals make provision for green infrastructure and biodiversity enhancement.'*

Principle DG49 of the Mid Sussex Design Guide states: *'Extensions should typically use simple, uncomplicated building forms to compliment and coordinate with the scale, form and massing of the original dwelling. The design approach may benefit from coordinating with the existing pattern of window and door openings as well as employing facing materials to match those of the existing dwelling. Otherwise it should demonstrate the appropriateness of the alternative approach.'*

Principle DG50 of the Mid Sussex Design Guide states, *'Front extensions are more likely to be acceptable where the building line is staggered or where the dwelling is set well back from the road. They are less likely to be acceptable in streets with a strong consistent building form as they risk disrupting the underlying order. Where front extensions are considered acceptable, they should normally be limited to a modest single storey or porch-type extension that reflect the character of the existing property.'*

The proposed replacement porch will extend approximately 2.9 metres beyond the main front elevation of the dwelling which is 1.1 metres further than the existing porch. The materials proposed will match the existing dwelling.

Given the design, form and scale of the proposals in relation to the surrounding properties, and that it would be constructed in materials similar to those of the existing dwelling and the surrounding properties, the proposals are considered to comply with policy DP26 of the District Plan, policy EG3 of the East Grinstead Neighbourhood Plan and the relevant principles of the Mid Sussex Design Guide SPD.

Impact on Neighbouring Amenities

In terms of impact upon neighbouring amenities Policy DP26 in part seeks to ensure that development:

'does not cause significant harm to the amenities of existing nearby residents and future occupants of new dwellings, including taking account of the impact on privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight, and noise, air and light pollution (see Policy DP29);'

Policy EG3 states that proposals should "not harm" adjoining neighbours amenity whereas policy DP26 of the MSDP states that development should not cause significant harm to the amenities of existing nearby residents and future occupants of new dwellings, including taking account of the impact on privacy, outlook, daylight and sunlight, and noise, air and light pollution. There is therefore some conflict between the District Plan and Neighbourhood Plan in this respect. Under section 38(5) of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 if a policy contained in a development plan for an area conflicts with another policy in the development plan, the conflict must be resolved in favour of the policy which is

contained in the last document to be adopted, approved or published. As such, policy DP26 of the MSDP is considered to take precedence and therefore the test in this instance is whether the development causes significant harm to neighbouring amenities as outlined above.

The modest increase in the depth of the porch by one metre, will have limited impact on the neighbouring properties in terms of outlook, privacy or light as it remains at a single-storey height.

Given the domestic scale and modest nature of the proposed works, it is not anticipated that the development would give rise to any undue impacts in terms of noise, air quality, or light pollution. Accordingly, the proposal is considered to accord with Policy DP26 of the Mid Sussex District Plan, which seeks to protect the amenities of neighbouring occupiers.

Impact on Parking

Policy DP21 of the District Plan states that to meet the objectives of the West Sussex Transport Plan 2011- 2026, decisions on development proposals will take account of whether: *'the scheme provides adequate car parking for the proposed development taking into account the accessibility of the development, the type, mix and use of the development and the availability and opportunities for public transport; and with the relevant Neighbourhood Plan where applicable.'*

To the front of the property is a driveway providing off road parking spaces for 2 cars. The increase in depth of the replacement porch by one metre will not result in any loss of parking space on the driveway. It is therefore considered that the proposal complies with policy DP21 on the Mid Sussex District Plan

Biodiversity Net Gain

Biodiversity net gain is required under a statutory framework introduced by Schedule 7A of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990. This is a householder application within the meaning of article 2(1) of the Town and Country Planning (Development Management Procedure) (England) Order 2015. As a householder application this development is exempt from the requirement to provide biodiversity net gain.

PLANNING BALANCE AND CONCLUSION

The proposal is appropriate in terms of design and will not negatively impact on the host dwelling nor its immediate setting and will not harm neighbouring amenities. Therefore, the proposal complies with policy DP26 of the Mid Sussex District Plan, policy EG3 of the East Grinstead Neighbourhood Plan principles DG49 and 50 of the Mid Sussex Design Guide and the relevant paragraphs of the NPPF and is considered acceptable.

Decision: Permission

Case Officer: Tracie Axford