

3. Historic Precedent

- Evidence from the previous owner's daughter confirms that a **timber fence previously existed** at this location.
- When the original fence fell into disrepair, a **chain link fence** was installed temporarily; the reinstatement of a **timber closed-board fence is far more consistent** with the historic character of the property and surrounding area.
- The timber gate has been reinstated in its **historic position**, further reflecting the traditional boundary treatment.

4. Heritage and Setting

- The fence and gate **do not affect the principal elevation or historic fabric** of the listed building.
- Historic precedent supports the acceptability of side boundary enclosures.
- Fully reversible and freestanding, the works cause **no permanent alteration** and preserve the building's significance.

5. Planning Balance

- Any minor visual impact is confined to the **side boundary**, mitigated by planting.
- The fence addresses a **documented security need**, offering immediate protection.
- Historic precedent demonstrates that closed-board fencing has long been part of the property's character.

Conclusion: The retained fence and gate are a proportionate, sympathetic, and historically informed boundary treatment. They preserve the setting of the Grade II listed building and provide genuine security. Retrospective permission is therefore requested.

Yours faithfully,

James and Alicia Clark