

## Katherine Williams

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 06 August 2025 21:25  
**To:** planninginfo  
**Subject:** DM/25/1596

[REDACTED]  
To whom it may concern

I am writing to raise my strong objection to the proposed housing development on the land north of Burleigh Lane, referred to in local planning documents as site DM/25/1596. I ask that you give this objection your full attention, as the issues surrounding this proposal are deeply concerning from a planning, environmental, and community impact perspective.

### **Inappropriate and Unsafe Access Plan**

The proposed access route through Woodlands Close is fundamentally unsafe and inappropriate. The road layout would create two closely positioned junctions, presenting significant traffic and pedestrian safety risks. Most concerning, however, is that this plan would leave an elderly and vulnerable resident living in a bungalow effectively surrounded by traffic — on what would become a virtual roundabout.

This is not only unacceptable from a safeguarding perspective, but it also fails to meet the government's own standards for high-quality, inclusive development. Subjecting any resident — let alone a vulnerable one — to this level of intrusion and isolation is completely unreasonable and should be rejected outright.

### **Failure to Secure the Correct Access Route**

The council's own guidance clearly stated that any development of SA22 should be accessed via Sycamore Lane. The developer has failed to secure this route and is now attempting to force access through a far less suitable location. This directly contradicts the planning principles previously established and, in my view, renders the SA22 site unviable. If the required and appropriate access cannot be delivered, this site should be withdrawn from the local plan entirely.

### **Drainage and Flooding Concerns**

There are already significant drainage and flooding issues in this area. Previous developments at Burleigh Woods and Woodlands have led to increased surface water and poor water management, which have not been adequately resolved. Introducing further development will only compound these problems.

We are already seeing environmental consequences — most notably the damage caused to the village pond, which has suffered from increased run-off and disrupted natural water flows due to other nearby developments. Approving more homes without major investment in infrastructure will worsen these issues and cause lasting harm.

### **Environmental and Ecological Damage**



[REDACTED] The loss of mature trees and natural habitat would have a devastating impact on biodiversity, which we have a legal and moral obligation to protect. It is vital that ecological surveys are taken seriously and that decisions reflect a genuine commitment to environmental responsibility.

### **Pressure on Village Infrastructure**

The local village infrastructure is already at capacity. The primary school is full, the GP surgery is overstretched, and local services are under increasing pressure. Adding more homes without addressing these deficiencies first is irresponsible and will significantly reduce quality of life for existing residents.



### **Conclusion**

This proposed development fails in multiple critical areas:

- It poses a serious safety risk through poor access design
- It puts a vulnerable resident in an unacceptable and isolating position
- It disregards council guidance on appropriate access routes
- It threatens protected wildlife and the environment
- And it places unsustainable pressure on local infrastructure

This is not what high-quality development looks like, and I urge you to support the removal of DM/25/1596 from the local plan. I would be grateful for a formal acknowledgement of this objection and ask to be kept informed of any decisions or meetings related to this matter.

Yours sincerely,

[REDACTED]