

From: planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk <planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk>
Sent: 16 December 2024 12:09:40 UTC+00:00
To: "planninginfo" <planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk>
Subject: Mid Sussex DC - Online Register - Comments for Planning Application
DM/24/2288

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 16/12/2024 12:09 PM.

Application Summary

Address:	Park Centre 60 Park Road Burgess Hill West Sussex RH15 8ET
Proposal:	Refurbishment and upgrade of the existing buildings including window replacement, new roof insulation, structural alterations. New extension on both sides of the existing main building. New Bin and bike storage at front and a new covered outdoor area at the rear and landscaping.
Case Officer:	Andrew Horrell

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Address: 4 Upper St Johns Road BURGESS HILL

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour or general public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	
Comments:	The proposed West side first floor extension will severely restrict morning light accessing the windows on the side of our house (2 Upper St Johns Road) and our garden. The proposed sloping elevation of the roof on this side extension will make little difference to our light as the top of the proposed upper floor wall will be higher than the roofs of both 2 & 4 Upper St Johns Road. In Winter we will get no direct sunlight until the afternoon.

The Google Earth image (dated 09/2023) which is appended as Figure 12 in the Archaeology Report, clearly shows the sunlight reaching the side of our house which will be lost with the proposed West extension. This is our only source of light as we have no rear windows due to our building backing on to Park Centre. The rear of the flats at 2 Upper St Johns Road will be plunged into darkness by this extension. The Planning Statement - Design & Access Statement appears to be more concerned with the minimal impact on 58 Park Road with the proposed East extension than the major impact on 2 & 4 Upper St Johns Road with the proposed West extension.

Will the new access door on the West side be regularly used?
This may result in additional noise.

Other than these major concerns, I fully support to reuse of Park Centre as a cultural asset.

Kind regards