

Sarah Valentine

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 14 December 2024 21:51
To: planninginfo
Subject: Objections: Planning Applications DM/23/2866 AND DM/23/2867

[REDACTED]
To whom it may concern,

Name: Rebecca Trotter

Address: 6 Percy Cottages, London Rd, Cuckfield, RH17 5EP

Planning and Sustainability Objections For DM/23/2866 - The Ansty Farm development site.

I'm disheartened to see this back again, the proposals are completely unsuitable and opposed by so many within the local community. It remains clear to me that the Ansty farm site is not, and will never be, suitable for a development of this scale.

- I didn't buy a view but I did buy a way of life. I chose to be surrounded by nature and live in a small community, where the people know each other. The proposed development is so significant in size and damaging to the natural landscape, it would change the very fabric of the place.
- The B2036 is already at a standstill multiple times a day, with traffic queuing down Ardingly road and the B2184. I often queue to get into my house and try to avoid leaving / returning during morning, evening or lunch rush hours. A broken down car, or roadworks, on the A272 cause utter carnage. This is all before the completion of the significant number of new houses at Burgess Hill, which are going to add to the problem.
- Proposals to reduce reliance on cars are nonsense. As a resident of the local area, none of these measures would induce me to cycle or walk to the station or the shops - it is simply too far.
- I walk my dog regularly across the proposed area for development, it is stunning, quite literally the 'rolling hills' the new government said they are not looking to build upon.

For DM/23/2867- The Country Park/Parkland Reserve

- This is a stunning natural landscape which I walk everyday. I love it because it is natural, creating a country park / reserve would only detract from the natural beauty that exists today.
- The area is already biodiverse, why on earth replace that with something that doesn't naturally occur there?
- Nothing within the proposal would enhance the lives of the local people, it detracts from the lives of the local people.

This is neither brown or grey field land, it is a stunning natural landscape. The development isn't proportional vs. what exists today, it completely alters the existing settlements and the lives of the people who live there.

Kind regards,

