

Peter Davies

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 03 December 2024 17:18
To: planninginfo
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: Planning application objection ref: DM/23/2866 and DM/23/2867

[REDACTED]
FAO: Planning team. Date: 3rd December 2024

I write in objection to the following re submitted planning permission ref: DM/23/2866 and DM/23/2867

My sister and I moved to Ansty in December 2014 after a long search for a rural property to live and move our horses to.

As residents of 2 Harvest Hill Cottages, Ansty, we actively use our land to house our horses, ride across the bridleways surrounding our land and the nearby cuckfield. Additionally to this, the three acre separate field we have at the bottom of our property has been re wilded and provides home to breeding wildlife including foxes, deer and numerous birds and insects. There is also a natural waterway running through this field from the Ansty farm land from West Ridden direction that creates a natural pond in this field. The natural biodiversity created from this re wilding would be significantly impacted as a result of any building in the surround area and this causes us great concern.

Additionally to the above,

No survey has been submitted to substantiate the claim that: **'it is not anticipated that there will be any demand for use by equestrians '** which leaves you to deal with **'there are no existing facilities locally'** (ref:2.5 &2.6).

2.5. It should be noted that there is currently no access to the site for equestrian use, and hence no existing facilities for equestrians locally. Whilst the internal layout is proposing to provide bridleways for use by equestrians, it is not anticipated that there will be any demand for use by equestrians since there are no existing facilities locally into which the site would otherwise connect as part of the bridleway works.

2.6. The provision of bridleways within the site originated from a request made by PRow team with the intention of improving cycle permeability, and we believe that the lack of existing surrounding infrastructure for equestrians – and hence minimal demand LAND ADJOINING ANSTY 2207280-R22 TECHNICAL TRANSPORT NOTE #7 (PUBLIC RIGHTS OF WAY RESPONSE) May 2024 5 JS / 2207280-R22 for use by equestrians – should not prevent the potential upgrade to bridleway within the site. However, we are able alter our offer to upgrade the PRow outlined above to bridleway and instead make improvements to the PRow routes instead.

2.7. Per the above proposed PRow network, there is now continuity of route through the site and onwards for cyclists and we are able to amend our approach to omit the bridleway proposals at WSCC PRow teams' request.

Please confirm receipt of this email correspondence by return

Regards

