

Caroline Grist

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 24 August 2026 16:48
To: Caroline Grist
Subject: DM/26/1988 Plummerden House Park Lane, Lindfield

[REDACTED]
Dear Caroline,

We border the proposed development.

Given the choice I would far prefer the cycle track to any development that involved dressage or a sand school , or a horse walker but I was never clear on the exact location of these earlier proposals.

The field has always been an sea of bluebells in the spring and given the area is in an AONB I would have thought that there should have been a resolve to retain that. The planting of leylandi trees on the border will doubtless hide much of the development from our eyes but the pictures supplied with the application just show what an eyesore the jumps are making of the lovely field.

I would not object to a pathway cycle track around the perimeter because so far we have not found it to be intrusive apart from the use of the flood lights in winter.

I cannot understand why this application has been put in retrospectively when the applicant must have known that planning permission was needed having already put in an application for the horse related activity.

If the application for the horse activity is passed this application would seem irrelevant, if the horse activity is not to be accepted we would not object to the proposed use as stated in the application (ie for one private individual) but cannot see that the jumps are necessary.

Yours sincerely

[REDACTED]
The Coach House, Ardingly Road Lindfield