

## Sarah Valentine

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 23 January 2026 15:06  
**To:** planninginfo  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** DM/25/3191 - Burleigh Lane (Proposed construction of 8 Properties)

[REDACTED]  
For the attention of Rachel Richardson

Dear Rachel,

We object to the above application.

We have numerous reasons, but to share the first objection, please see below:

Both Burleigh Lane and Sandhill Lane (access to Burleigh Lane) are public footpaths as well as access roads. We have no separate paths.

Burleigh Lane is a single lane with only 7 properties; the lane is very narrow. Sandhill Lane is maintained by the residents to a non-adoptable standard (poor quality with no streetlighting)  
The lane is also utilised as a public footpath as well as for residents' access.

By granting this application it would cause an acute risk to pedestrians due to the vastly increased levels of traffic, particularly during construction.

Both Burleigh and Sandhill Lane are not suitable for this type of traffic.

I would question how WSCC Planning department can consider granting planning with the significant pedestrian safety risks and concerns stated.

The planning application is inaccurate with regards traffic report paragraph 4.6. It states formal passing points exist.

This is wrong, no passing point exists on either lane.

The chances of a significant safety incident or worse is very likely.

For context, the lane is utilised by children walking to school, dog walkers from the village, walkers using the lane as public footpath and horses.

How will WSCC planning ensure this risk is mitigated? I cannot see a practical solution to make the safety risk acceptable.

The lane isn't suitable for construction vehicles and would create very serious safety issues, noise, disruption, and damage to the lane (which isn't suitable for anything larger than a van size vehicle)

The construction would potentially be carried out over a very long period of time for construction of 8 self builds, all with separate timelines and potential start dates.

The lane simply isn't 'fit for purpose' for any additional properties or for large construction vehicles.

By granting approval of this planning application (to double the quantity of properties) on Burleigh Lane isn't safe, reasonable, or proportionate.

Yours Sincerely



Orcombe  
Sandhill Lane  
Crawley Down  
West Sussex  
RH10 4LB