

From: planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk <planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk>
Sent: 09 July 2026 19:57:11 UTC+01:00
To: "Deborah Lynn" <deborah.lynn@midsussex.gov.uk>
Subject: Mid Sussex DC - Online Register - Comments for Planning Application
DM/26/0128

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 09/07/2026 7:57 PM.

Application Summary

Address:	The Foal Barn Wyndham Farm Wineham Lane Wineham Henfield West Sussex BN5 9AN
Proposal:	Change of use of land from agricultural use to equestrian use, erection of stable building, and construction of all-weather outdoor riding area; with associated hard/soft landscaping, and access arrangements. Amended site plan received 17.06.2026 showing revised position of outdoor riding arena, together with amended supporting documentation including ecology and BNG assessment, tree report and flood risk and drainage assessment.
Case Officer:	Deborah Lynn

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Address: Wyndham Farmhouse Wineham Lane Henfield

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour or general public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	
Comments:	As per our previous letter, we continue to object to this proposal. We have noted the small number of amendments but in our opinion it still contravenes the stipulations set out in DP12, 13, 26, 29, 34, 38 and 41.

It is overdevelopment of a small rural area with two listed properties. The number of stables and houses already down the small track of Gratten Lane involves large horse lorries, feed lorries and multiple car and van movements passing between the two listed houses everyday. The view from Wyndham Farmhouse is already impacted with piles of rubble and equestrian machinery in sight. Changing the use of the agricultural land to equestrian will mean there is no diversity in the area as there is already so much equestrian use.

We understand manure cannot be kept on site due to the proximity to the river but there seems to be no plan for how it will be removed. The concerns in our previous response have not been addressed so we continue to strongly object.

Kind regards