

From: planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk <planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk>
Sent: 30 March 2026 10:40:42 UTC+01:00
To: "Andrew Clarke" <andrew.clarke@midsussex.gov.uk>
Subject: Mid Sussex DC - Online Register - Comments for Planning Application
DM/25/3128

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 30/03/2026 10:40 AM.

Application Summary

Address:	8 The Crescent Hassocks West Sussex BN6 8RB
Proposal:	Revised description: Application for a new fence to the northern boundary: Amended plans submitted showing revised design and cladding of posts and gravel boards
Case Officer:	Andrew Clarke

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Address:	10 HASOCKS WEST SUSSEX BN6 8RB
----------	--------------------------------

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour or general public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	
Comments:	I note that the plans have been amended. It is not possible to understand exactly what the amendments are. Please add some notes so that public can clearly see. My original objection still stands - unless the amendment addresses it- the height of the fence explicitly contravenes the restrictions of the Conservation Area. The design is not in keeping

with the look and feel of the surrounding properties.

A boundary fence is fine- although it is completely untrue that PO and Refuse collectors' used the lack of boundary as a short cut. Even if they had done it would not be damaging any vegetation as there is none there!!.

Metal barriers were erected and left unchallenged by the council for 4 years previous to the erection of this fence. The general feeling that anything is better than before is not valid. Neither is the argument that because it has been erected that somehow it mitigates.

Kind regards