

From: Steven King
Sent: 15 October 2025 14:41:41 UTC+01:00
To: "Mark Bewsey" <Mark.Bewsey@dhaplanning.co.uk>; "Marc Dorfman" <Marc.Dorfman@lewes-eastbourne.gov.uk>
Cc: "James Emery" <James.Emery@lewes-eastbourne.gov.uk>; "Neil Collins" <Neil.Collins@lewes-eastbourne.gov.uk>; "Steve O'Grady" <steveo@catesbyestates.co.uk>; "Ian Humble" <ianh@catesbyestates.co.uk>; "Noora Koetje" <Noora.Koetje@dhaplanning.co.uk>
Subject: RE: DM/25/0827

Dear Mark

To answer your two questions, the comments of our Urban Designer are to provide guidance on what this Council would find acceptable in terms of urban design, should outline planning permission be granted. As the layout plan that has been submitted is illustrative, all of the matters relating to the reserved matters would need to be picked up at that stage. I would also make the point that Mid Sussex are the LPA for the minority of the site so it would be Lewes DC who would be responsible for assessing the layout of the majority of the site, should outline consent be granted.

With regards to your second question, I think your e mail is a response to the comments of the Woodland Trust. It is of course open to you if you wish to provide a further response to their comments.

I believe you are correct with regards to the outstanding consultees you refer to. I'll chase these up.

I'm happy to agree an EOT until 31st December.

Regards

Steven King, BSc (Hons) Dip TP, MRTPI
Team Leader, Major Development
Mid Sussex District Council
01444 477556

Steven.King@midsussex.gov.uk
www.midsussex.gov.uk



Working together for a better Mid Sussex

From: Mark Bewsey <Mark.Bewsey@dhaplanning.co.uk>
Sent: 13 October 2025 15:34
To: Marc Dorfman <Marc.Dorfman@lewes-eastbourne.gov.uk>; Steven King <Steven.King@midsussex.gov.uk>
Cc: James Emery <James.Emery@lewes-eastbourne.gov.uk>; Neil Collins <Neil.Collins@lewes-eastbourne.gov.uk>; Steve O'Grady <steveo@catesbyestates.co.uk>; Ian Humble <ianh@catesbyestates.co.uk>; Noora Koetje <Noora.Koetje@dhaplanning.co.uk>
Subject: RE: DM/25/0827

Dear All

We continue to monitor the consultation responses as they come in. Most significantly at the moment are the consultation responses from the WSCC LLFA (as outlined below) and MSDC's Ecological Consultant, as helpfully forwarded by Steven on Friday. We are working to address these comments.

I write to query the status of some of the further comments, and I would also like to take the opportunity to clarify the position in respect of the attached two comments which have been made to the MSDC application:

- Urban Designer – we note and welcome the positive nature of these comments, and we are pleased to see that Ms Kramarczyk-Dillon agrees that the scheme demonstrates a suitable and thoughtful response to the site and its setting, and accordingly that she raises no objection. Steven – in respect of the two detailed recommendations she gives, it is our position that these could and should be picked up with and dealt with through the reserved matters process. Would you agree?
- Woodland Trust – the comments of the Woodland Trust are disappointing. Critically, the response demonstrates in the 4th paragraph a significant misunderstanding of the extent of the buffer zone shown within the DAS Addendum. The distances labelled on the plan of 19m and 27m (as picked up by the WT), and 45m, are the varied distances between the edge of the 15m buffer zone and the nearest development. Accordingly, the true distance from the edge of the Ancient Woodland is 15m plus these distances, and therefore a minimum offset of 34m.

It is surprising to see the WT criticising the lack of analysis in relation to the current recreational use of the woodland. This is not something we would expect to do. We have followed the standard advice in order to provide the minimum buffer plus a significant additional offset, plus we've proposed thorny planting and fencing to prevent access. This application goes further than most in respect of measures to protect Ancient Woodland and we do not see the need to provide any further analysis.

In addition, the AIA demonstrates that T3 is a category U tree featuring major decay, significant die-back, major deadwood, and evidential decline.

Steven – I would be grateful for your thoughts in terms of how/ whether we should respond to the WT comments, as we consider that we have gone far enough with the application, but if you disagree, please let me know.

Outstanding Comments

I note that Marc kindly offered to chase ESCC LLFA below. I've not seen anything from them so I assume their consultation response remains outstanding, and that the same is true of ESCC Highways? These are clearly key consultees and we are keen to understand where we sit asap. I believe we are also awaiting comments from:

- MSDC's Conservation Officer
- Both Councils' Tree Officers
- Both Councils' Housing Officers

Are there any other significant comments still awaited? Would officers look to chase any further outstanding comments so that we are able to progress?

EOT

I believe the most recently agreed EOT ran until the end of September. We'd be happy to agree a further extension, would an extension to the end of the year work for you?

Kind regards

Mark

Mark Bewsey MRTPI

Director

Office: 01293 221320

Mobile: 07593 441711

Email: Mark.Bewsey@dhaplanning.co.uk



Inspiring. Planning. Delivering.

Proudly supporting



Kent
01622 776226

Gatwick
01293 221320

London
020 3005 9725

Leeds
0113 323 6669

www.dhaplanning.co.uk

DHA Planning Ltd. Registered in England. Registration No. 2683290
This message is confidential to the intended recipient. It does not constitute a legally binding document on the sender or recipient. If you have received this message in error please forward it to: info@dhaplanning.co.uk. Please note that incoming and outgoing emails are liable to be monitored. WARNING: Although DHA has taken reasonable precautions to ensure no viruses or other malicious software are present, DHA cannot accept responsibility for any loss or damage arising from the use of this Email or attachments however caused. The recipient should therefore check this Email and any attachments for the presence of viruses or other malicious software.

From: Marc Dorfman <Marc.Dorfman@lewes-eastbourne.gov.uk>
Sent: 02 October 2025 10:15
To: Mark Bewsey <Mark.Bewsey@dhaplanning.co.uk>; Steven King <Steven.King@midsussex.gov.uk>
Cc: James Emery <James.Emery@lewes-eastbourne.gov.uk>; Neil Collins <Neil.Collins@lewes-eastbourne.gov.uk>; Steve O'Grady <steveo@catesbyestates.co.uk>; Ian Humble <ianh@catesbyestates.co.uk>
Subject: RE: DM/25/0827

[External email - This message originated from outside DHA – prior to opening any attachments or opening links, please ensure their authenticity with the sender]

Dear Mark and Steven, we/Lewes will chase ESCC SUDs. Thanks for your patience.
Best wishes

Marc Dorfman
Senior Special Advisor Planning
Development Management for Lewes
Lewes District Council & Eastbourne Borough
Council
Marc.Dorfman@lewes-eastbourne.gov.uk
Tel. 07808 260986

From: Mark Bewsey <Mark.Bewsey@dhaplanning.co.uk>
Sent: 02 October 2025 10:02
To: Steven King <Steven.King@midsussex.gov.uk>
Cc: James Emery <James.Emery@lewes-eastbourne.gov.uk>; Marc Dorfman <Marc.Dorfman@lewes-eastbourne.gov.uk>; Neil Collins <Neil.Collins@lewes-eastbourne.gov.uk>; Steve O'Grady <steveo@catesbyestates.co.uk>; Ian Humble <ianh@catesbyestates.co.uk>
Subject: RE: DM/25/0827

Steven, James, Marc,

This morning I have been in a meeting with Catesby and their drainage engineers RPS, to discuss the WSCC LLFA response. There is a strategy for dealing with each of their comments. We are conscious though that we do not have the ESCC response and we are concerned that there could be conflicting or overlapping requests. Do you have any idea about when this response will be issued, and/or could the ESCC LLFA be chased? We'd rather avoid abortive work or even the submission of a response to the WSCC comments without knowing what ESCC will say.

Kind regards

Mark

Mark Bewsey MRTPI

Director

Office: 01293 221320

Mobile: 07593 441711

Email: Mark.Bewsey@dhaplanning.co.uk



Inspiring. Planning. Delivering.

Proudly supporting



Kent
01622 776226

Gatwick
01293 221320

London
020 3005 9725

Leeds
0113 323 6669

www.dhaplanning.co.uk

DHA Planning Ltd. Registered in England. Registration No. 2683290

This message is confidential to the intended recipient. It does not constitute a legally binding document on the sender or recipient. If you have received this message in error please forward it to: info@dhaplanning.co.uk. Please note that incoming and outgoing emails are liable to be monitored. WARNING: Although DHA has taken reasonable precautions to ensure no viruses or other malicious software are present, DHA cannot accept responsibility for any loss or damage arising from the use of this Email or attachments however caused. The recipient should therefore check this Email and any attachments for the presence of viruses or other malicious software.

From: Steven King <Steven.King@midsussex.gov.uk>

Sent: 29 September 2025 18:40

To: Mark Bewsey <Mark.Bewsey@dhaplanning.co.uk>

Cc: James Emery <james.emery@lewes-eastbourne.gov.uk>; Marc Dorfman <marc.dorfman@lewes-eastbourne.gov.uk>; Neil Collins <neil.collins@lewes-eastbourne.gov.uk>

Subject: DM/25/0827

[External email - This message originated from outside DHA – prior to opening any attachments or opening links, please ensure their authenticity with the sender]

Dear Mark

I've received the attached consultation response from WSCC LLFA today. As you can see, they have requested further information. I have not seen a response from ESCC LLFA yet.

I would suggest that once ESCC have also provided their response, you would be able to consider both sets of comments together.

Regards

Steven King, BSc (Hons) Dip TP, MRTPI
Team Leader, Major Development
Mid Sussex District Council
01444 477556

Steven.King@midsussex.gov.uk
www.midsussex.gov.uk



Working together for a better Mid Sussex

The information contained in this email may be subject to public disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act 2000. Unless the information contained in this email is legally exempt from disclosure, we cannot guarantee that we will not provide the whole or part of this email to a third party making a request for information about the subject matter of this email. This email and any attachments may contain confidential information and is intended only to be seen and used by the named addressees. If you are not the named addressee, any use, disclosure, copying, alteration or forwarding of this email and its attachments is unauthorised. If you have received this email in error please notify the sender immediately by email or by calling +44 (0) 1444 458 166 and remove this email and its attachments from your system. The views expressed within this email and any attachments are not necessarily the views or policies of Mid Sussex District Council. We have taken precautions to minimise the risk of transmitting software viruses, but we advise you to carry out your own virus checks before accessing this email and any attachments. Except where required by law, we shall not be responsible for any damage, loss or liability of any kind suffered in connection with this email and any attachments, or which may result from reliance on the contents of this email and any attachments.

This email and any files transmitted with it may contain confidential or privileged information and are intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this email in error, please contact the sender using the contact details given above, then immediately and permanently delete it. You may not use, copy or disclose the information contained in this message or any attachment.

Although Eastbourne Borough and Lewes District Councils have taken steps to ensure that this e-mail and any attachments are virus free, we can take no responsibility if a virus is actually present and you are advised to ensure that the appropriate checks are made.

All written communications sent by and to Eastbourne Borough and Lewes District Councils are subject to the Freedom of Information Act 2000. You should therefore be aware that this email or the information contained in it may be disclosed without notice to a third party making a request for information about the subject matter of this email.

Please do not print this email unless you really need to.