

From: planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk <planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk>
Sent: 19 February 2026 06:24:21 UTC+00:00
To: "Steven King" <steven.king@midsussex.gov.uk>
Subject: Mid Sussex DC - Online Register - Comments for Planning Application
DM/25/3129

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 19/02/2026 6:24 AM.

Application Summary

Address:	Land At Borde Hill Lane Haywards Heath West Sussex
Proposal:	Outline planning application for the erection of up to 125 dwellings, together with the provision of landscaping, open space, and associated development works, with access from Balcombe Road. All matters reserved except for access.
Case Officer:	Steven King

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Address:	57 Buttinghill Drive, Cuckfield cuckfield
----------	---

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour or general public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	
Comments:	I wish to object to the planning application for the proposed development of up to 125 new homes and the enlarged roundabout for the following reasons. Firstly, the local area already struggles to meet existing demand for critical services. GP surgeries and dental practices in West Sussex are reported to be under strain, with long waiting times and limited capacity, and the addition of a development of this

size would place further pressure on these essential services. Similarly, local schools are already operating close to capacity, and there is no clear evidence that sufficient additional provision will be delivered to meet the needs of the new population. These infrastructure deficits risk undermining the creation of a sustainable community and are inconsistent with the aims of the Mid Sussex District Plan to support healthy communities.

Secondly, there are unresolved concerns about flood risk and drainage. The planning authority's own guidance recognises that major developments must consider surface water and drainage issues, and that areas of surface water flood risk can equate to significant flood concern. Given the scale of the proposed development and the fact that the site lies within an area where surface water flooding has been reported locally, there is a material risk that the increased built footprint and impermeable surfaces could exacerbate flooding issues both on site and in neighbouring areas.

Finally, the cumulative impact of growth in the district, including traffic pressures and strain on community facilities, is a genuine concern for existing residents. Without parallel investment in healthcare, education, transport and community infrastructure, the development would place unsustainable demand on services that are already struggling to cope.

For these reasons, I respectfully request that the application be refused

Kind regards