

From: planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk <planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk>
Sent: 22 June 2026 00:11:19 UTC+01:00
To: "Andrew Clarke" <andrew.clarke@midsussex.gov.uk>
Subject: Mid Sussex DC - Online Register - Comments for Planning Application
DM/26/1498

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 22/06/2026 12:11 AM.

Application Summary

Address:	21 Victoria Gardens Burgess Hill West Sussex RH15 9NB
Proposal:	Variation of Condition 2 pursuant to planning permission DM/25/3134 (retrospective planning application for change of use from Use Class B2 (general industrial) to Use Class B8 (storage and distribution), relating to hours of use'.
Case Officer:	Andrew Clarke

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Address:	23 Victoria Close Burgess Hill
----------	--------------------------------

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour or general public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	
Comments:	We object to the above planning application to extend the operating hours of the business located directly behind our property. Our primary concern is the significant impact that extending the operating hours until 10pm, with activity commencing as early as 4am, could have on the amenity and quality of life of neighbouring residents. Our home backs directly onto the site, and the

proposed hours would substantially increase the period during which we may be exposed to operational noise, vehicle movements, deliveries, staff activity, alarms, vehicle headlights, and general disturbance.

Whilst we note that the applicant has submitted noise monitoring information in support of the application, we remain concerned that such assessments may not fully reflect the cumulative impact of extended operating hours on nearby residents, particularly during the early morning and evening periods when background noise levels are naturally lower and residents are most likely to be sleeping, relaxing, or enjoying their homes and gardens.

We are also concerned about the precedent that approval of this application could set. If one business within the area is permitted to operate over significantly extended hours, it may encourage similar applications from neighbouring industrial and warehouse units, resulting in a gradual erosion of residential amenity for those of us living adjacent to the business estate.

Furthermore, this application must be viewed in the context of the site's recent retrospective planning application for three storage containers positioned directly against the rear boundary of neighbouring gardens. These containers have already had a detrimental visual impact on the area and have been a source of concern for local residents. Given these ongoing issues, it is difficult to have confidence that the interests of neighbouring households are being given any sufficient consideration.

We appreciate that businesses need to operate successfully, however, this must be balanced against the reasonable expectation of local residents to enjoy their homes without undue disturbance. In our view, the proposed operating hours are excessive given the site's close proximity to residential properties and would adversely affect the living conditions of neighbouring households.

We request that the Council refuses this application.

Kind regards