

Steven King

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 04 March 2025 16:16
To: Steven King
Cc: planninginfo
Subject: Proposed Schemes on Land West of Turners Hill Road, North of Huntsland, and South of Huntsland, Turners Hill Road, Crawley Down. Planning References: DM/25/0014, DM/25/0015, DM/25/0016, DM/25/0017

[REDACTED]
Proposed Schemes on Land West of Turners Hill Road, North of Huntsland, and South of Huntsland, Turners Hill Road, Crawley Down

Planning Reference: DM/25/0014
Planning Reference: DM/25/0015
Planning Reference: DM/25/0016
Planning Reference: DM/25/0017

I strongly object to all four of the above Planning Applications.

The above proposed developments will impact upon the wellbeing and quality of life of the existing population, in and around Crawley Down, going about their daily lives.

I would question the intentions of the Developer as DM/25/0014 and DM/25/0015 appear to be duplicates of each other as do DM/25/0016 and DM/25/0017. If they are duplications, what is the purpose of the duplications?

The lack of inter-connecting roads within the original larger Planning Application indicates to me that the Developer built in a contingency so it could be split into smaller Applications if the larger Application proved contentious.

The proposed development's roads exit onto the B2028 which in turn feeds onto the A264 at the Dukes Head roundabout, an already very busy road that frequently has queues of traffic particularly at peak times. Regularly, traffic is stationery between the Dukes Head and Copthorne roundabouts adding to the air pollution. How will this problem be addressed and resolved?

With one tiny shop and the location of the proposed developments, it's clear the new residents would have to use their vehicles to get provisions, go anywhere or do anything. Traffic in and around Crawley Down village is already a big problem. Any increase in traffic could compromise the safety of the local population, particularly the elderly and the young, and would have a detrimental impact on the environment and air quality.

Ditto, visitors driving to and from the proposed Care Homes; it will just add to the congestion.

There is no provision in the above Applications for another School. The Village School is at capacity and cannot take more children. The children of the proposed dwellings would have to travel outside of Crawley Down for their education adding to the traffic problem and the pollution.

There is no provision in the above Applications for additional Health Care and wellbeing facilities. Crawley Down's current Health Centre cannot support its existing patients so the additional

population will be forced to travel outside of the Village for their Health Care increasing the traffic and contributing to the air pollution.

Without additional Safety measures, Pedestrians crossing the B2028 to access the proposed developments would be vulnerable to, and in danger of, the fast-flowing heavy traffic. An 'accident waiting to happen'.

At the junctions with existing roads, Traffic will 'bottle neck' on the B2028 over the tiny Worth Way road bridge, creating a potential safety hazard. This could become an accident 'black spot' without additional Safety and Traffic Management measures in place. I could not find a Noise and Vibration assessment of the impact on the bridge of a major increase in traffic over it. Does one exist?

The increase in traffic to, and from, the proposed developments will have a major impact on air quality and air pollution. More importantly, it will impact upon the wellbeing and quality of life of the existing population going about their daily lives.

Environmentally, the loss of habitat and light pollution on the proposed development site will have a detrimental impact on the wildlife living there, the red kites and buzzards are protected birds for a reason!

In summary: I strongly oppose the proposed developments on the grounds stated above.

It has the hallmarks of 'Profit before People' and 'Cash before Community'.

