

## Sarah Valentine

---

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** 27 September 2025 12:34  
**To:** planninginfo  
**Subject:** Re: Application DM\24\2957

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Completed

I have read the latest plan as a disabled driver with a blue badge removing a parking space in front of the post is unreasonable . There are many elderly and disabled people who use the post office to collect pensions, do banking ,post ect we do not have another nearby facility and plead that this is a really thoughtless decision . Sue Matthews  
27. 9.25 Sent from my iPad

> On 21 May 2025, at 16:11, [REDACTED] wrote:

>

>

> Sent from my iPad. I have great concern about the impact that this proposal has on Copthorne. Another convenient store is needed at the other side of the village where we have an existing shop which is inadequate for the needs of a busy village, we already have an adequate business on this side of the village. There is very insufficient parking at present and any new development will increase this problem.

> My main concern that the congestion by the Prince Albert is already causing problems for buses,Lorries, ambulances and general commuting. By this junction is a playgroup , shops ,cafe and Dr surgery, congestion is a daily occurrence, let alone the safety of pedestrians and particularly children as no safe crossing . Bus stop outside the convenient store, a pizza shop also used by pedestrians and require parking .

> There are two roads that open onto the main drag , serving many homes and blocked by the junction when trying to get out .

> I strongly feel that this would be detrimental on the ground congestion and safety .

>

> [REDACTED]