

Hamish Evans

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 08 August 2024 10:46
To: Hamish Evans
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: Comments on Application DM/23/2493
Attachments: Figure 4 Heathy Ridge Showing point E530680.268 N138427.589-Model.pdf; Heathy Ridge, Copthorne Road, Copthorne from Highways 7-08-24.pdf; WSCC Ownership Overlaid on OS Mapping with Total Station Location.pdf

Dear Mr. Evans,

In response to a request placed with WSCC for a definition of the legal boundary position between my land and the Highway, Carol Rigler sent me a 1:500 extract showing Highways Land shaded Pink. This is a higher resolution version of the 'fig 2.1' in the applicants Transport Technical Note. This can be overlaid onto the previously submitted Ordnance Survey digital mapping with the position of the Total Station(E530680.268 N138427.589) used in the clients survey. I've attached the two drawings along with the overlaid version.

You can see that the overlay of the WSCC ownership drawing and the OS digital mapping is exact, and as the position of the Total Station can be positioned precisely onto the OS digital mapping this shows beyond any doubt that the applicants Transport Technical Note fig 3.1 does not show the legal boundary in the correct location. I would again point out that there is no physical boundary on the ground so the applicant cannot claim that he positioned the boundary as a result of a topological survey.

Best Regards,

[REDACTED]
Heathy Ridge
RH10 3PD



Virus-free www.avg.com