

Delegated Decision

Sign off Sheet

Ref. No:	DM/25/0488	Case Officer:	Emma Rivett
Application Type:	Trees in a Conservation Area		
Proposal:	Various works to trees as detailed in accompanying schedule of works		
Site:	West Hoathly Recreation Ground, North Lane And , Finche Recreation Ground , Church Hill, West Hoathly, West Sussex, ,		
Validation Date	25 Feb 2025	Overall Expiry Date:	19 Mar 2025
Pre-Commencement Conditions Required:		Pre-Com Conditions Date Agreed:	
Recommendation:	No Objection	Recommendation Date:	3 Mar 2025
Target Date:	8 Apr 2025	Recommending Officer Signature:	Emma Rivett

Date Legal Agreement Completed: (if applicable)		No of Representations:	0
--	--	-----------------------------------	---

Signed and Agreed By:	Beth Howland-Smith	Date:	21 Mar 2025
Comments:			

MID SUSSEX DISTRICT COUNCIL

DM/25/0488

**West Hoathly Recreation Ground, North Lane And , Finche Recreation Ground , Church Hill, West Hoathly, West Sussex,
Various works to trees as detailed in accompanying schedule of works
Mrs Leanne Andrews**

The proposed works to trees across two recreation grounds within West Hoathly, belonging to the Parish Council.

North Lane Recreation Ground:

All these trees are on the eastern side of the recreation ground, on the bank adjacent to North Lane.

They should not be included in the application for the following reasons:

T59-Ash-Should not be included on the description as it is only listed for removal of deadwood.

T62-Silver Birch-This tree had already failed at the time of the site visit. The proposed works had been to fell it, but as it has failed already, it no longer needs to be included.

T70-Ash-is a dead ash that has succumbed to Ash Dieback and requires felling. As this tree is already dead, it does not need including on the application.

Finche Field Recreation Ground:

All these trees are growing on the bank to the south of the recreation ground, and both overhang the Church Hill.

T98-Holly-This is to be felled and replaced. It is visible from the footpath on the southern side of the recreation ground.

T99-Hazel-This is visible from the footpath around the edge of the recreation ground.

T104-Sycamore-This one should be removed from the application as the removal of deadwood does not need to be included.

Only T98 and T99 need to be included on the application and the proposed works is acceptable. Neither of these trees would be worthy of TPO as both are understorey trees.

No objection is recommended.

Decision: No Objection

Case Officer: Emma Rivett