

From: planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk <planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk>
Sent: 17 December 2024 13:32:29 UTC+00:00
To: "Andy Watt" <andy.watt@midsussex.gov.uk>
Subject: Mid Sussex DC - Online Register - Comments for Planning Application
DM/24/2957

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 17/12/2024 1:32 PM.

Application Summary

Address:	The Prince Albert Copthorne Bank Copthorne Crawley West Sussex RH10 3QX
Proposal:	Sever existing land to retain existing public house use and area of pub garden and erect a convenience store and 4 no. apartments with associated works, including alterations to the existing vehicular access and car park.
Case Officer:	Andrew Watt

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Address: 39 BRIDGELANDS COPTHORNE CRAWLEY

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour or general public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	
Comments:	Traffic. Access from the existing Pub car park with limited traffic is already difficult because traffic approaching from the right is masked by cars in the nearby layby and the bus stop. A busy convenience store with constant car movements would create a traffic hazard. Convenience Store The village is already well served for stores having three, one of

which is within a few yards of the proposed development. What is required is a store in the new Western development of the village which is inadequately served. There is no requirement for a further store

Public House

The affect of the proposals will be to reduce the amenity provided by the Pub. The proposed garden area is minute and is situated against a busy road and abuts the new apartments. It is not an attractive proposition and any reduction in the use of the Pub will inevitably lead to its closure.

Conclusion

This development will not bring benefit to the village. A further store is not needed in the Centre, it will reduce the amenity of the Pub and hasten its potential closure and is likely to cause traffic problems and potential accidents. I do not think that this application should be agreed.

Kind regards