

Catherine Cardin

From: planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk
Sent: 05 October 2025 22:29
To: Catherine Cardin
Subject: Mid Sussex DC - Online Register - Comments for Planning Application DM/25/2298

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 05/10/2025 10:28 PM.

Application Summary

Address:	12 Brook Lane Lindfield Haywards Heath West Sussex RH16 1SG
Proposal:	Householder application to allow for a two storey side extension, two storey and single storey rear extension, new front porch and new car port with new entrance gates.
Case Officer:	Catherine Cardin

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Address:	10 Brook Lane Lindfield
----------	-------------------------

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour or general public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	
Comments:	I object to planning application DM/25/2298 (12 Brook Lane, Lindfield, RH16 1SG) on the basis that the proposed car port and entrance gates, would have a significant negative visual impact on Brook Lane. The lane is characterised by houses set back from the road, with substantial greenspace, trees and hedging between them and the road itself, creating the semi-rural identity of Brook Lane. The proposed car port and entrance gates would also both be in an elevated position relative to the height of the road itself, making their presence even more out of character and

overwhelming.

The "Street Scene" document included in the planning application misrepresents what the actual scene would be from the street if the proposed car port and entrance gates were built - the scene would actually be the brick side wall and pitched roof of the car port and the entrance gates, all starting above the road height, with the top of the house potentially visible behind.

With respect to the proposed extension of the house itself, I am concerned that the building work on the east side of the property will cause significant damage to the trees and hedging forming the boundary between our properties, and the tree at the north-east corner of the proposed games room. I would like to register an objection to the extension due to potential damage to the trees and hedging, unless the applicant is able to provide evidence from a suitably qualified arborist demonstrating that the proposed extension would not cause damage to, or require removal of, any of the trees or hedging currently sited between our properties.

Kind regards