

From: planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk <planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk>
Sent: 10 February 2026 16:16:23 UTC+00:00
To: "Steven King" <steven.king@midsussex.gov.uk>
Subject: Mid Sussex DC - Online Register - Comments for Planning Application
DM/25/3129

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 10/02/2026 4:16 PM.

Application Summary

Address:	Land At Borde Hill Lane Haywards Heath West Sussex
Proposal:	Outline planning application for the erection of up to 125 dwellings, together with the provision of landscaping, open space, and associated development works, with access from Balcombe Road. All matters reserved except for access.
Case Officer:	Steven King

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Address:	27 Oathall road Haywards Heath
----------	--------------------------------

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour or general public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	
Comments:	<p>I am writing to submit an objection to this planning application. I am directly affected by this proposal, as I live 5 mins away, in the centre of Haywards Heath.</p> <p>The reasons for my objection is as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. The development would have a huge detrimental affect on the landscape, materially altering the current beautiful countryside

views. The site sits next to an area of outstanding natural beauty. 60 houses would have a huge detrimental affect, let alone the now 125 that are proposed.

2. The proposal eliminates many trees and therefore homes for wildlife - the developers would cut down a mature English Oak as well as woodland, destroying natural habitats. The area is home to both doormouse and bats as well as birds. The developer says it will mitigate the environmental impact - but once you build over the countryside you can't recreate that same green space and habitats later. The plans seem to have a complete disregard for the environmental impacts.

3. High number of houses - the developer has suggested more than double the amount of houses than the council had suggested. Even the initial 60 that the council had suggested would cause a huge strain on already busy community amenities and cause a large amount of additional traffic in an already busy and congested town. My GPs, as an example, is already stretched over capacity. New services need to be created before the town can cope with any further housing. Another example of this is the water supply needed for this scale of development.

4. In summary, environmental and infrastructure consents do not seem to have been given much consideration as part of this application - so for all these reasons, I ask the council to not approve this development.

Kind regards