

From: planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk <planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk>
Sent: 14 May 2025 22:17:17 UTC+01:00
To: "Catherine Cardin" <Catherine.Cardin@midsussex.gov.uk>
Subject: Mid Sussex DC - Online Register - Comments for Planning Application
DM/25/1099

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 14/05/2025 10:17 PM.

Application Summary

Address:	44 Nightingale Lane Burgess Hill West Sussex RH15 9JJ
Proposal:	Proposed single storey rear extension
Case Officer:	Catherine Cardin

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Address:	42 Nightingale Lane Burgess Hill
----------	----------------------------------

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour or general public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application

Reasons for comment:

Comments:	I object to the proposed rear extension at 44 Nightingale Lane for the following reasons:
-----------	---

The extension appears to exceed the 3-metre eaves height limit under the General Permitted Development Order (GPDO), Schedule 2, Part 1, Class A. This raises concerns about potential overshadowing and loss of natural light to my property, as well as a looming effect that would impact my garden and living space.

The extension is less than 2 metres from my property boundary, and it is unclear if the eaves height complies with the required

limits.

The extension would disrupt the consistent roofline of the terraced houses, negatively affecting the aesthetic and character of the terrace. The alteration to the roofline may also impact the structural integrity of my property, including its roof.

The southern orientation and close proximity of the extension to the boundary with 42 Nightingale Lane raise concerns about a significant reduction in natural daylight and increased overshadowing, particularly to the living room and garden at No. 42.

The proposed extension would block light to my windows, infringing on my Right to Light under the Rights of Light Act 1959. My property has enjoyed this light for over 20 years, and the extension would cause a detrimental loss of light, impacting my residential amenity.

The side-facing window on the west side is too close to the boundary. Planning regulations prohibit such windows unless permission is granted.

The extension's size and proximity to the boundary would result in visual intrusion, overwhelming my garden and living space. It would obstruct open views from my windows, including views of nearby green spaces, diminishing my residential amenity.

Finally, no planning statement has been provided with the application, making it difficult to assess the full impact of the proposed extension.

I believe the extension should be reconsidered or rejected based on these concerns.

Kind regards