

Sarah Valentine

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 15 January 2024 12:41
To: planninginfo
Subject: Fwd: 'Parkland Reserve' Planning application DM/23/2867 - OBJECTION

[REDACTED]
Subject: 'Parkland Reserve' Planning application DM/23/2867 - OBJECTION

I am writing to object to the above Planning application for the following reasons :

- The area that is the subject of the application is currently farmland with walks across it. This already provides people with access to natural countryside and therefore this application is unnecessary.
- The proposal in fact will detract from the natural aspect of the current (AONB) area by 'urbanising' it with cycleways, increased public rights of way, bird hides and fencing.
It is contrary to the existing adopted policy (DP 160) which states that 'development will only be permitted when it conserves or enhances natural beauty'.
- The proposal is contradictory and is in effect unachievable. It is seeking to promote bio- diversity whilst at the same time increased public access. Both can't be achieved.

In addition it is unclear as to why this application is now being put forward by Fairfax separately .
It was originally part of the overall CuckStye application, which includes 1500 dwellings which is obviously Fairfax's major interest. This 'Parkland Reserve' proposal should surely be judged as part of the overall CuckStye application.