

Sarah Valentine

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 28 March 2026 14:16
To: planninginfo
Subject: DM/26/0328 Proposed installation of a 17.5M Mast

[REDACTED]

In response to the proposed application I feel that the positioning is in a totally unsafe place regarding the health of the residents, the traffic use of the road and the proximity to nearby properties. It is an accident waiting to happen.

If you are turning right out of Burdocks Drive the line of sight will be blocked by the pole and the equipment cabinets. It is difficult enough at the best of times with the traffic negotiating the bollards for the crossing and also vehicles turning out of The Holt, and pedestrians using the crossing obstructing your view of oncoming traffic. Even though the road has a 30 mph limit, very few vehicles travel at under the limit particularly at drop off and pick-up times for the two local schools. The road is also used constantly with learner drivers using it for practicing and testing and sometimes they are lining up to use the road. The volume of heavy goods vehicles is high with deliveries to the new housing estates that have or are being built to the north and the local bus route.

I'm aware that there have been several previous applications for a mast along Kingsway that fortunately have been refused. Thankfully common sense prevailed in those cases.

WHY ARE THE COMMUNICATION COMPANIES SO INTENT ON CONTAMINATING THE KINGS WAY AREA WITH A MAST?

I personally do not have a problem with mobile phone signal unlike people who live in the St.Andrews Road/Manor Road area.

With all the new housing being built on the eastern edge of town, could a mast be better placed in fields on the edge of Janes Lane or Ditchling Common or update those already there and not be so close properties, effect peoples lives and devalue their properties.

I'm sure that the local landowners would welcome the extra revenue from having the equipment on their land.

[REDACTED]