

From: planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk <planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk>
Sent: 07 July 2025 09:31:28 UTC+01:00
To: "Hamish Evans" <hamish.evans@midsussex.gov.uk>
Subject: Mid Sussex DC - Online Register - Comments for Planning Application
DM/25/1495

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 07/07/2025 9:31 AM.

Application Summary

Address:	Land Adj. To Rocky Lane Haywards Heath West Sussex
Proposal:	The installation of a 22.5 metre lattice mast, mounted with 9No. antennas, 12No. cabinets, 1No. Electrical meter cabinet located within a compound comprised of 2.4 metre high chain-link fence topped with 3 strands of barbed wire and the creation of a new access from Rocky Lane and ancillary development thereto.
Case Officer:	Hamish Evans

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Address:	15 ROCKY DRIVE Haywards Heath
----------	-------------------------------

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour or general public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	
Comments:	Sirs, We refer to planning application reference: DM/25/1495 We are currently owners and resident at 15 Rocky Drive, very close to the application site. This application will significantly adversely affect us, our family and our community. We therefore wish to register our strong objection to this application for the following reasons:

1. The size and design of the mast is huge and unsightly. It is industrial in appearance and in scale and it is not appropriate to locate such a structure close to and overlooking such a large residential community. There has been no visual impact assessment of this structure which is bound to dominate the horizon from our entire neighbourhood and oppress and overwhelm our community.
2. The development appears to provide very limited advantage specifically to rail users with no discernible advantage to the local community who will pay the price for such an unwelcome intrusion.
3. There are well documented health and safety issues associated with this type of development which, from looking at the application documentation, neither the Applicant nor the Authority appear to have taken seriously. We would expect a far greater degree of specialist study and assurance from both the Applicant and the Authority rather than the "tick box" approach that seems to prevail. There has been no assessment of the impact of electrical noise and interference of these type of development
4. There appears to be no assessment or consideration of alternative sites which might have been more suitable for this development.

I hope that the responsible officers and the Committee will give due consideration to this objection when the application is submitted for approval.

Yours sincerely,

Julie McKenzie and Hamish McKenzie

Both of 15 Rocky Drive, Haywards Heath, RH16 4WQ

Kind regards