

From: planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk <planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk>
Sent: 22 December 2025 19:03:12 UTC+00:00
To: "Susan Dubberley" <susan.dubberley@midsussex.gov.uk>
Subject: Mid Sussex DC - Online Register - Comments for Planning Application
DM/25/3131

Wishing you a Merry Christmas and a Happy New Year. Although our offices and phone lines are closed for the Christmas period from 4pm on 24th December 2025 and reopening on Monday 5th January 2026, most of our services can be accessed online through our [website](#).

All emails will be responded to on our return on 5th January.

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 22/12/2025 7:03 PM.

Application Summary

Address:	Marlow House 107 High Street Lindfield Haywards Heath West Sussex RH16 2HR
Proposal:	Retrospective planning permission for a variation to the scheme approved under DM/22/3694, incorporating some reinstatement works.
Case Officer:	Susan Dubberley

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Address:	65 high street lindfield
----------	--------------------------

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour or general public
Stance:	Customer made comments in support of the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	

Comments:

Dear Sir or Madam

I would like to register my support for the listed building consent application submitted by Mr Simon Dougall in Lindfield.

The proposed works appear carefully considered, modest in scope, and clearly designed to enhance the building while respecting its historic fabric and listed status. The approach feels sensitive, conservation-led, and in keeping with the character of the property and the wider village.

I make this comment as someone who has recently gone through the listed building consent process myself in Lindfield, involving extensive engagement with the extremely difficult and uncooperative conservation officer, Emily Wade, and planners on a Grade II* listed property.

That experience has given me a strong appreciation of how challenging this process can be, even for applicants pursuing thoughtful, responsible and well-intentioned schemes.

In my view, Mr Dougall's proposals represent exactly the kind of balanced intervention that helps secure the long-term future of historic buildings, allowing them to be maintained, improved and lived in, without harm to their significance.

I hope the application is assessed proportionately - though I suspect my optimism is misplaced - and with recognition of the care and restraint clearly evident in the proposals.

Stephen Yeomans

Kind regards