

From: planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk <planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk>
Sent: 29 December 2025 23:31:19 UTC+00:00
To: "Andy Watt" <andy.watt@midsussex.gov.uk>
Subject: Mid Sussex DC - Online Register - Comments for Planning Application
DM/25/3108

Wishing you a Merry Christmas and a Happy New Year. Although our offices and phone lines are closed for the Christmas period from 4pm on 24th December 2025 and reopening on Monday 5th January 2026, most of our services can be accessed online through our [website](#).

All emails will be responded to on our return on 5th January.

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 29/12/2025 11:31 PM.

Application Summary

Address:	Moonshine Meadows Land At Grid Reference 528715 121501 Cuckfield Road Ansty West Sussex
Proposal:	Proposed 5 pitch site for settled gypsy accommodation including vehicular access, each containing mobile home, utility building and parking spaces.
Case Officer:	Andrew Watt

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Address:	Little Lower Ease Cuckfield Road Ansty
----------	--

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour or general public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	

Comments:

I object to this application.

The site is in a remote countryside location with no pavements, no street lighting and no public transport. Anyone living here would have to rely entirely on cars for everyday needs. This goes against local planning policies (DP33 and DP21 of the Mid Sussex District Plan), which says static caravan sites should be in locations that are reasonably accessible. These same problems were identified by the Inspector in the previous appeal and nothing has changed since then.

Cuckfield Road is a fast rural road that already feels unsafe for walking or cycling. Adding five pitches would increase traffic using an access that was never designed for this level of residential use. Without any improvements to safety or transport options, this creates an ongoing risk that cannot be properly addressed.

Although more reports have now been submitted, includes additional technical information relating to ecology and arboriculture, this does not alter the fundamental unsuitability of the location. The proposal of an intensive residential use in an unsustainable countryside location.

The poor accessibility and highway concerns outweigh the benefits of the proposal. For these reasons, planning permission should be refused.

Kind regards