

Hamish Evans

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 27 May 2025 11:14
To: Hamish Evans
Cc: David Eggleton (Cllr); Simon Hicks (Cllr); Cllr Anne Eves
Subject: Objection to DM/25/0589

Dear MSDC Planning Department

I am writing to formally object to the revised planning application DM/25/0589 submitted by Cellnex, concerning the proposed 30-metre high lattice telecoms tower. These comments do not supersede those previously made.

The sheer scale and industrial design of the tower would be **incongruous** within the surrounding landscape, disrupting the established character of the area. The lattice structure, standing at an imposing height, would introduce a stark visual intrusion, clashing with the more harmonious and natural elements of the environment. Such an abrupt contrast would undermine the aesthetic integrity of the locality, diminishing its appeal for residents and visitors alike.

Furthermore, the presence of such a towering structure would create an **unnerving** impact on the community. The overwhelming height and stark metallic framework would dominate sightlines, creating a sense of visual oppression. This could lead to a deterioration in the overall sense of place, making the area feel less welcoming and more industrialized, which is entirely at odds with the existing character of the locality.

Additionally, the revised application does not sufficiently mitigate the concerns raised in previous objections. The amendments fail to address the fundamental issue of excessive scale and visual discordance.

Furthermore, my communication with the ICNIRP has revealed that it is up to local or national authorities to set the siting regulations that operators need to adhere when installing antennas, in order to ensure that exposure stays below the limits. Please can the MSDC produce this specific information for public view?

I urge the planning department to reject this application on the grounds of its **incongruous** design, its **unnerving** visual impact, and its failure to integrate sensitively into the surrounding area. A more balanced approach must be taken to ensure that technological advancements do not come at the expense of community well-being and environmental aesthetics.

Yours sincerely

[REDACTED]