

From: Andy Swaisland <andy@hillsarchitecturaldesign.co.uk>
Sent: 05 March 2025 21:48:46 UTC+01:00
To: "Rachel Richardson" <Rachel.Richardson@midsussex.gov.uk>; "Ben Miller" <ben@mtmanagementltd.co.uk>
Subject: RE: 24 Royal George Rd BH DM/24/2875
Attachments: 24 Royal George Road - Existing and Proposed Site Plans Rev. B 5.3.25.pdf, 24 Royal George Road - Proposed Plans Rev.A 5.3.25.pdf, 24 Royal George Road - Proposed Side Elevations Rev. A 5.3.25.pdf, 24 Royal George Road - Proposed Front and Rear Elevations Rev. A 5.3.25.pdf

Dear Rachel

Please find attached updated drawings in accordance with your comments.

We agreed to the application extension date of 4/4/25 and look forward to hearing from you in due course.

Kind regards

Andy

From: Rachel Richardson <Rachel.Richardson@midsussex.gov.uk>
Sent: 05 March 2025 15:14
To: Andy Swaisland <andy@hillsarchitecturaldesign.co.uk>; Ben Miller <ben@mtmanagementltd.co.uk>
Subject: RE: 24 Royal George Rd BH DM/24/2875

Dear Andy and Ben,

By way of return, please confirm your agreement to an extension of time to determine your application.

I think an appropriate date would be Friday 4th April? This will allow for re advertisement of the amended drawings and writing my report to get the decision issued.

I look forward to hearing from you in this regard and receiving the amended information tomorrow.

Kind regards,

Rachel.

Rachel Richardson Bsc (Hons) DIP TP MRTPI
Senior Planning Officer
Development Management
www.midsussex.gov.uk
01444 477 224

Please note I work part-time (usually over Monday to Thursday) and from home Monday to Wednesday.

From: Rachel Richardson <Rachel.Richardson@midsussex.gov.uk>
Sent: 05 March 2025 15:02
To: Andy Swaisland <andy@hillsarchitecturaldesign.co.uk>; Ben Miller <ben@mtmanagementltd.co.uk>
Subject: FW: 24 Royal George Rd BH DM/24/2875

Dear Andy,

Please see Emily's further comments below.

Please can the existing dormer windows be retained or if necessary only replaced with an exact copy of the existing version.

If you submit the revisions as discussed by lunch time tomorrow I can re advertise on the current application.

Kind regards,

Rachel.

Rachel Richardson Bsc (Hons) DIP TP MRTPI
Senior Planning Officer
Development Management
www.midsussex.gov.uk
01444 477 224

Please note I work part-time (usually over Monday to Thursday) and from home Monday to Wednesday.

From: Emily Wade <Emily.Wade@midsussex.gov.uk>
Sent: 05 March 2025 14:57
To: Rachel Richardson <Rachel.Richardson@midsussex.gov.uk>
Subject: RE: 24 Royal George Rd BH DM/24/2875

Hi Rachel

Further comments on the above application following the receipt of revised plans.

The application has been amended to show the two storey side extension reduced to a single storey projecting bay. Although the removal of the shop front is still proposed, the bay does at least to an extent reference a similar form. On balance, and given the existing alterations to the shopfront, this is considered acceptable.

The proposed front and rear dormers have been amended to reduce their scale and introduce a gabled roof form. However, as was noted in my original comments, the existing dormers appear to be original in their form, which is replicated to the other properties along the terrace of which the application site is part. In this context the loss of the existing dormers will be unacceptable in principle. I would therefore recommend that the existing dormers are retained, or if necessary replaced in facsimile.

In summary although the treatment of the side elevation is now considered acceptable, the works at roof level require further revision.

Thanks,

Emily

Please note that this advice is given at Officer level only and is without prejudice to the formal decision of the District Council.

Submit your planning application online.
<http://www.planningportal.gov.uk>

Emily Wade Ma MSc
Conservation Officer
Planning Services
Tel: +44 (0)1444 477385
emily.wade@midsussex.gov.uk <http://www.midsussex.gov.uk>



From: Emily Wade
Sent: 26 February 2025 14:45
To: Rachel Richardson <Rachel.Richardson@midsussex.gov.uk>
Subject: 24 Royal George Rd BH DM/24/2875

Hi Rachel

Comments on the above planning application. My apologies for the delay in getting these to you, which has been caused by pressure of other work.

The application site is a late 19th/early 20th century end of terrace building, located on the corner of Royal George Road and Fairfield Road in Burgess Hill, within the Fairfield Conservation Area. The building, which would appear to have been subject to alterations and the likely loss of original features, is likely to have originally been a corner shop, mirrored by a similar shop to the opposite end of the terrace, but is now a commercial premises with a maisonette over.

The existing building, although altered and with some negative features which detract from its appearance (such as the box fascia and uPVC windows), does also retain some features which tie it to the remainder of the originally consistent terrace, and to the bookended shop at its opposite end, including the shop frontage (albeit that the shop windows themselves appear to have been replaced) and gabled dormers at front and rear. On balance, it makes a neutral, or slight positive contribution to the character and appearance of the terrace and of the wider Conservation Area.

The current application is for alterations and extensions to create 3 flats within the building, retaining the commercial premises at ground floor. The proposed external alterations include replacement of the dormers to the front and rear roof slopes with large dormer extensions, and a two storey flat roofed extension to the east side elevation.

Firstly I would note that the description of development appears misleading as the proposal also includes a two storey extension to the east elevation.

The current proposal raises a number of concerns in relation to the proposed associated external alterations:

- The existing gabled dormer windows to front and rear are, as noted above, among the surviving original features of the building which tie it to the rest of the originally consistent terrace, and contribute positively to the largely uniform roof scape of the terrace. Their loss is therefore considered unacceptable in principle. Furthermore, the proposed replacement box dormers are overscaled in both cases, although particularly in respect of the dormer to the rear, and unsympathetic in their form and detailed design. In this respect it is worth noting that the rear roof slope is open to view from Fairfield Road to the north. This will exacerbate the negative impact on the character and appearance of the building, the terrace and the wider Conservation Area, contrary to the requirements of District Plan Policy DP35. It is also contrary to the requirements of the Council's adopted design guide, in particular Design Principle DG52 (Loft Conversions and Roof Extensions) and Chapter 6 (6.3.5-6.3.8).
- The proposed flat roofed two storey side extension is also considered unacceptable. This elevation of the building faces onto the junction with Fairfield Road and is a prominent feature of the building. The proposed addition would result in the partial removal of what is presumed to be the original, although altered, shop frontage to the building, which wraps around the corner from Royal George Road onto Fairfield Road. In its location and form it appears an incongruous addition to the existing building and terrace. This would also be contrary to DP35, and to Design Principle DG50 (Front and Side Extensions).

In summary the proposal is considered contrary to DP35 and to the adopted Design Guide. In terms of the NPPF, it would result in less than substantial harm to the significance of the Conservation Area, such that the balancing exercise set out in paragraph 215 will apply.

Thanks,

Emily

Please note that this advice is given at Officer level only and is without prejudice to the formal decision of the District Council.

Submit your planning application online.
<http://www.planningportal.gov.uk>

Emily Wade Ma MSc
Conservation Officer
Planning Services
Tel: +44 (0)1444 477385
emily.wade@midsussex.gov.uk <http://www.midsussex.gov.uk>



The information contained in this email may be subject to public disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act 2000. Unless the information contained in this email is legally exempt from disclosure, we cannot guarantee that we will not provide the whole or part of this email to a third party making a request for information about the subject matter of this email. This email and any attachments may contain confidential information and is intended only to be seen and used by the named addressees. If you are not the named addressee, any use, disclosure, copying, alteration or forwarding of this email and its attachments is unauthorised. If you have received this email in error please notify the sender immediately by email or by calling +44 (0) 1444 458 166 and remove this email and its attachments from your system. The views expressed within this email and any attachments are not necessarily the views or policies of Mid Sussex District Council. We have taken precautions to minimise the risk of transmitting software viruses, but we advise you to carry out your own virus checks before accessing this email and any attachments. Except where required by law, we shall not be responsible for any damage, loss or liability of any kind suffered in connection with this email and

any attachments, or which may result from reliance on the contents of this email and any attachments.