

Katherine Williams

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 05 March 2025 19:05
To: Katherine Williams
Cc: Kim Blumberg
Subject: proposed extension at no.11 Oathall Avenue

[REDACTED]

Dear Katherine:

I am writing to you ahead of Friday's council meeting to make sure you are aware of my objections to the proposed rear extension of my neighbours' house at no.11 Oathall Avenue.

[REDACTED]

The extension as proposed would overshadow our kitchen and lounge at all times, particularly in the morning as these rooms are east facing. Our outlook would be considerably impacted at all times of day and year. I am copying in the points from the Mid Sussex design guide that are extremely relevant to this application:

1. The applicant has not considered nor involved neighbours in the development of this latest design put forward for planning permission.
2. The rear extension impacts adversely on the light, outlook and privacy of the adjacent buildings and gardens. The proposed rear balcony extends across the whole of the rear of the building at a significant height over the surrounding gardens and would cause significant loss of privacy in both gardens. The huge size of the extension and its dominance over the neighbouring properties would reduce sunlight and cause overshadowing. No. 9 will lose direct sunlight in their lounge through 2 different windows in the mornings. Both neighbours will have a very large bulky rectangular wall to view from their lounges and living areas.
3. The roof form of the extension is not appropriate to the original dwelling, there is no pitch and there is a flat roof of over 5m in depth and 10m in width, there is no inclusion of dormer roof extension nor any attempt to utilise a less impactful roof slope
4. The applicant has not demonstrated that the proposal responds to and respects the character of the area and the immediate neighbourhood. The size and type of the architectural style of the rear extension is inconsistent with the surrounding Turner-style buildings and the large rectangular side walls are of enormous bulk and utilise inappropriate materials.
5. The applicant has not considered how the proposal causes significant harm to neighbouring properties in relation to overshadowing, privacy, overbearing impact.
6. The building form does not compliment and coordinate with the scale, form and massing of the original dwelling, which is now no longer the dominant element of the property.
7. The materials proposed do not match in any way those of the existing dwelling
8. There is no reflection of current window and door openings in either size or style.

I will separately email you a drawing/photo that I have made to give an idea of the visual impact the proposed extension will have on our outlook, from which I think you can infer the loss of light we will experience.

I hope that you can consider these points in any comments you make at the council meeting on March 7 regarding permission - or NOT - for this planning application. I would also like to re-iterate that our neighbours at No.11 did not at any point consult with us or discuss plans they were making.

yours truly,

A solid black rectangular box used to redact the signature of the sender.