

From: planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk <planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk>
Sent: 07 May 2025 16:54:53 UTC+01:00
To: "Hamish Evans" <hamish.evans@midsussex.gov.uk>
Subject: Mid Sussex DC - Online Register - Comments for Planning Application
DM/25/0589

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 07/05/2025 4:54 PM.

Application Summary

Address:	Land North Of Leylands Road Burgess Hill West Sussex
Proposal:	The installation of 1 No. 30 metre high lattice tower mounted with 6 No. antennas, 12 No. cabinets, within a compound comprised of 2.4 metre high palisade fencing painted green, 1 No. electrical metre cabinet and associated development thereto. (amended plans received 25.04.2025, amended photo montage received 01.05.2025 and amended description 06.05.2025)
Case Officer:	Hamish Evans

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Address: 36 Charlwood Road Burgess Hill

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour or general public
Stance:	Customer objects to the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	
Comments:	I object to this application. Painting the tower green will not detract from the sheer height and mass of the tower. It would still ruin what is a pleasant amenity and footpath which leads to another very pleasant walk way from Leylands Road to St Wilfred's, used by many walkers. Over the last few years there have also been railway siding land slips in that location questioning the wisdom of

siting it in this area.

The tower will not benefit people living in the locality with better mobile signals: it would overshadow local homes, whose residents are concerned for their health and well-being of potentially living close by to the installation. There are also health concerns for the health of toddlers and young children attending Oak Tree Primary and Platform 3 Preschool/nursery who are sensitive to the associated emissions.

As this mast application is speculative, would be of zero benefit to local residents, not good for the health of them or the young children attending the local primary school and nursery or wildlife I urge the planning committee to reject this application. There are other sites that would be better suited for such an installation.

Kind regards