

Sarah Valentine

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 05 January 2026 17:59
To: planninginfo
Subject: Re: DM/25/1434 rear ofChesapeke

[REDACTED]

Good Evening

I object to this application for the following reasons.

Reeds Lane is already a busy Road and does not need further traffic on a Lane that was built years ago for minimal vehicles, more traffic means the more accidents

The ground is already struggling to cope with the houses as it is, further drainage for water and sewage is not feasible

The countryside is slowly being eroded, the deer are finding less and less places to live and other wildlife is dwindling

There has been several building developments in the area, most recently the properties opposite Chesapeke, how many more must there be in such a small area??

KR

[REDACTED]
8 Osborn Close
Sayers Common
BN6 9JR

> On 5 Jan 2026, at 14:53, planninginfo <planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk> wrote:

>
> Good Evening
>
> I object to this application for the following reasons.
>
> Reeds Lane is already a busy Road and does not need further traffic on a Lane that was built years ago for minimal vehicles, more traffic means the more accidents
>
> The ground is already struggling to cope with the houses as it is, further drainage for water and sewage is not feasible
>
> The countryside is slowly being eroded, the deer are finding less and less places to live and other wildlife is dwindling
>
> There has been several building developments in the area, most recently the properties opposite Chesapeke, how many more must there be in such a small area??
>
> KR