

From: christopher jones <chris-impact@live.co.uk>
Sent: 05 December 2024 08:46:26 UTC+00:00
To: "Anna Tidey" <anna.tidey@midsussex.gov.uk>
Subject: Re: Tree Officers comments/recommendation for DM/24/2330 1 Wintergreen Way, Sayers Common

Dear Anna ,

After talking with my client

Please see the below could these changes make enough of a difference and be updated to the current application , happy with any time extension if required ?

- remove the front door and the space will be accessible only through our front door.
- all washing and laundry facilities will use the existing utility room of the main house
- there is a shared garden for the annex

Regards
Christopher Jones



The image shows a business card for Christopher Jones Projects. On the left is the logo, which features a green house icon above the text 'ARCHITECTURAL JONES • PROJECTS'. To the right of the logo, the name 'Christopher Jones' is written in green. Below the name are two phone numbers: '01444 635052' and '07736 589002', and a website URL 'www.Architecturaljones-projects.com/'. There are also icons for Facebook, Twitter, and Instagram. On the far right is a portrait photo of Christopher Jones, a man with dark curly hair and a beard, wearing a blue shirt. Below the main text area, there is a row of five award badges: '2024 Best of Houzz Service', '2023 Best of Houzz Service', '2022 Best of Houzz Service', '2021 Best of Houzz Service', and 'Best of houzz 2020 SERVICE'. To the right of these is another badge for 'Best of houzz 2019 SERVICE'. Further right is a badge with the company logo and a 9.96 rating from 226 reviews. At the bottom right, it says 'Operates in RH15 8PG'.

From: Anna Tidey <anna.tidey@midsussex.gov.uk>
Sent: Tuesday, December 3, 2024 11:41
To: christopher jones <chris-impact@live.co.uk>
Subject: Tree Officers comments/recommendation for DM/24/2330 1 Wintergreen Way, Sayers Common

Dear Chris

Please see attached the latest comments that I have received from Emma Rivett, the MSDC Tree Officer, for this application. Emma objects to the proposed position of the extension at the side of the house. Given her advice I am now minded to recommend the application **is**

Refused. I will aim to write my report by the end of this week, as I note that the Decision Target date is **09.12.2024**.

Should your client wish to reconsider the proposal, I would suggest a smaller, subordinate, single or two storey extension, sited to the rear of the house.

I would expect the occupant/s of an annexe to have **reliance upon the main house, for cooking/washing facilities**. As the proposal stands the rooms provided in the proposed extension could allow for completely independent living. **Therefore I would hope that the proposed accommodation is reassessed and reduced in scale.**

I look forward to hearing from you on how your client wishes to proceed.

Regards

Anna

Submit your planning application online.
<http://www.planningportal.gov.uk>

Anna Tidey BA(Hons) MPhil MRTPI
Planning Officer
Development Management

Tel: +44 (0)1444 477342
anna.tidey@midsussex.gov.uk <http://www.midsussex.gov.uk>

Working together for a Better Mid Sussex.



- SAVE A TREE Only print this email if absolutely necessary.

From: Emma Rivett <Emma.Rivett@midsussex.gov.uk>
Sent: 03 December 2024 11:25
To: Anna Tidey <anna.tidey@midsussex.gov.uk>
Subject: DM/24/2330 1 Wintergreen Way, Sayers Common

Dear Anna,

Further to reading the Arboricultural Impact Assessment (AIA) and Tree Protection Plan (TPP), I visited the site to assess the condition and age of the trees growing on the southern boundary, in relation to the proposed extension of the property.

The AIA described the trees as young trees, which I found was not accurate. I believe these trees to be semi mature. They will still require space to develop fully. The trees act as a boundary between this property and the adjacent, allocated land. The trees currently provide screening between this development and the open countryside at the southern end of the village.

I can see from the driveway that the trees have already been pruned back excessively. This pruning work does not accord with the guidance in BS3998:2010-Recommendations for Tree Work. These trees were left with large limbs pruned back to unsustainable growth points.

I have reviewed the documents for the original development of the house. The house itself was constructed adjacent to the tree protection barrier identified and set out in accordance with the TPP for this development. The extension now proposed now falls within that tree protection area which makes me question the current TPP.

I feel that these trees will be under continuous future pressure to be excessively pruned, which would be detrimental to the health of these valuable, boundary trees.

I therefore object to the development in the current location.

Kind regards

Emma

Emma Rivett
Assistant Tree Officer-Development Management
www.midsussex.gov.uk

Working together for a better Mid Sussex

The information contained in this email may be subject to public disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act 2000. Unless the information contained in this email is legally exempt from disclosure, we cannot guarantee that we will not provide the whole or part of this email to a third party making a request for information about the subject matter of this email. This email and any attachments may contain confidential information and is intended only to be seen and used by the named addressees. If you are not the named addressee, any use, disclosure, copying, alteration or forwarding of this email and its attachments is unauthorised. If you have received this email in error please notify the sender immediately by email or by calling +44 (0) 1444 458 166 and remove this email and its attachments from your system. The views expressed within this email and any attachments are not necessarily the views or policies of Mid Sussex District Council. We have taken precautions to minimise the risk of transmitting software viruses, but we advise you to carry out your own virus checks before accessing this email and any attachments. Except where required by law, we shall not be responsible for any damage, loss or liability of any kind suffered in connection with this email and any attachments, or which may result from reliance on the contents of this email and any attachments.