

From: planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk <planninginfo@midsussex.gov.uk>
Sent: 30 July 2025 14:42:23 UTC+01:00
To: "Catherine Cardin" <Catherine.Cardin@midsussex.gov.uk>
Subject: Mid Sussex DC - Online Register - Comments for Planning Application
DM/25/1394

Comments summary

Dear Sir/Madam,

Planning Application comments have been made. A summary of the comments is provided below.

Comments were submitted at 30/07/2025 2:42 PM.

Application Summary

Address:	North End School North End London Road East Grinstead West Sussex RH19 1QQ
Proposal:	Proposed single storey extension and porch. (amended plans received 24.07.25)
Case Officer:	Catherine Cardin

[Click for further information](#)

Customer Details

Address: 3 Test Street Test Street Epsom

Comments Details

Commenter Type:	Neighbour or general public
Stance:	Customer made comments neither objecting to or supporting the Planning Application
Reasons for comment:	
Comments:	Hi Planning Team I do not appear to have received any response to my earlier query regarding the the position of the single storey extension and Porch. However having switched to using laptop rather than mobile I am now able to view the application plan documents.

My understanding is that the porch and canopy will be located on the front/side elevation. Is this correct.

As such there will be no development planned that includes the right hand side rear end of the building, towards the rear gardens facing the allotments. Can this be confirmed.

I like other neighbours have concerns about increased capacity of nursery with same concerns re increased noise and site access affecting the main road.

From the view of the plans this only looks to be construction of new entrance lobby front by Oak framed canopy that should not allow any increase capacity.

Is this a correct assumption. ?

Also I do hold reservation regarding the accuracy of the submitted plans show large canopy to the rear which if this application is approved would legitimise this structure.

I know the original canopy to the rear has had the orientation turned through 90 degrees probably without proper consent. This may have been done by previous owners or management of the nursery but this type of unauthorised works breaks down trust in planning process.

Please do not take my neutral stance as approval of the proposed works as re-assurance need to be given regarding scope of the works and legitimacy of the rear canopy structures.

Kind regards